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California Community Colleges
Chancellor's Office
State of California

Brice W. Harris Chancellor

April 7, 2014

The Honorable Edmund G. Brown, Jr.
Governor of California
State Capitol Building, $1^{\text {st }}$ Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814

## Dear Governor Brown:

I am pleased to present to you the California Community Colleges' Fiscal Year 2012-13 legislative report on nursing educational programs.

Enrollments in our nursing programs have grown by more than 30 percent in the last four academic years due to the development of new curriculums, expansion of enrollment capacity through partnerships with the healthcare industry, and federal and state funded programs and grants.

This report presents an overview of the categorical funding awarded through an application process as well as an update on nursing program support provided in the Budget Act of 2012. You will also find highlights on the number of students taking assessment tests prior to enrolling in the nursing programs, the results of the tests, and other demographic information.

If you have any questions or comments, please contact Deputy Chancellor Erik Skinner at (916) 323-7007, or eskinner@cccco.edu.

Sincerely,

Brice W. Harris
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The California Community Colleges serve more than 2.1 million students each year and is the largest system of higher education in the nation. The state's 112 community colleges are charged with providing workforce training, basic skills education, and preparing students to transfer to four-year universities.

Seventy-six California community colleges operate registered nursing programs, enrolling a statewide total of 13,026 full-time-equivalent students (FTES) .

Enrollments have increased by about 31 percent since 2008-09 academic year due to the development of new programs, expansion of enrollment capacity through partnerships with the healthcare industry, and federal and state funded programs and grants.

Colleges with nursing programs receive funding through general apportionment/FTES. This report presents information on categorical funding awarded through an application process to the community colleges for nursing programs in fiscal year 2012-13.

Also included in this report is a summary on Nursing Program Support provided in the Budget Act of 2012 to expand community college nursing enrollments and to improve student retention (required by Provision (23) of Item 6870-101-0001 of the Budget Act of 2012).

## REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Education Code Section 78261, subdivision (g) says: "As a condition of receiving grant funds pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (d), each recipient district shall report to the Chancellor's Office the following
data for the academic year on or before a date determined by the Chancellor's Office:

1. The number of students enrolled in the nursing program.
2. The number of students taking diagnostic assessments.
3. The number of students failing to meet proficiency levels as determined by diagnostic assessment tools.
4. The number of students failing to meet proficiency levels that undertake preentry preparation classes.
5. The number of students who successfully complete preentry preparation coursework.
6. The average number of months between initial diagnostic assessment, demonstration of readiness, and enrollment in the nursing program for students failing to meet proficiency standards on the initial diagnostic assessment.
7. The average number of months between diagnostic assessment and program enrollment for students meeting proficiency standards on the initial diagnostic assessment.
8. The number of students who completed the associate degree nursing program and the number of students who pass the National Council Licensure Examination.

In subdivision (h) of Education Code Section 78261, the reporting requirements continue:

1. Data reported to the Chancellor's Office under this article shall be disaggregated by age, gender, ethnicity, and language spoken at home.
2. The Chancellor's Office shall compile and provide this information to the Legislature and the governor by March 1 of each year.

## OVERVIEW OF FUNDING

## Nursing

The overview of funding is detailed on tables beginning on page 9. A list of the colleges that received funding for the 2012-13 fiscal year, the amount received, and the number of full-time equivalent students served in the 2008-09, 2009-10, 2010-11, 201112 and 2012-13 academic years is provided on Table 1. In 2012-13, the Budget Act provided approximately $\$ 13.4$ million of Proposition 98 funds to be allocated as follows: $\$ 8.5$ million to further expand community college nursing slots, and $\$ 4.9$ million to provide diagnostic and support services to reduce student attrition. (These funds are described further in Table 2).

The four colleges (American River, Butte, Mt. San Jacinto and College of the Sequoias) allocated the balance from the last of the five year Workforce Investment Act (WIA), NEI Phase II federal grant, continue to spend their 18-month grant dollars to assist lowering nursing attrition rates, increasing nursing faculty, expanding public/private partnerships to develop additional nursing education capabilities, expanding nursing slots by 165 nursing students, and giving preference to eligible veterans. Each school was awarded $\$ 190,000$ and the remaining $\$ 40,000$ is allocated to administrative costs, as outlined in Table 3. The term date for this grant is July 1, 2011 to March 31, 2014.

## KEY FINDINGS

As in previous years, the Budget Act of 2012 directed the Chancellor's Office to report on the award of nursing grants funded by the $\$ 13.4$ million provided. These funds were awarded to colleges who participated in an application process in the spring of 2012 for a one year enrollment expansion and/or assessment, remediation and retention grant, which began July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013. Below is summary information that addresses the specific areas (numbered) required by the Budget Act of 2012.

## 1. Amount of Funding Received

Funds were awarded based on an application process that was conducted in the spring of 2012 for projects to
be funded during FY 2012-13. The Chancellor's Office awarded funds to all colleges that applied, but not all colleges were allocated the funding they requested. The following is a description of the grants that were awarded.

Enrollment Growth for Nursing: Sixty-two colleges received grants to expand enrollments by 1,593 students. The colleges are using these funds to provide support for nursing program enrollment and equipment needs. The equipment purchased is only intended to be used for increasing the number of nursing students served.

Assessment, Remediation and Retention Funds:
During 2012-13, all 62 colleges that requested enrollment growth funds received some funds for diagnostic and support services, pre-entry coursework, and other services to reduce attrition. Table 2 provides a list of the colleges receiving funds specifically for reducing attrition and provides a list of all community college nursing programs with their respective attrition rates as reported to the Board of Registered Nursing for the 2012-13 Annual School Report. (This item is also discussed in number 3.)

## 2. Number of nursing FTES grants awarded

Colleges receiving nursing grants for enrollment growth had 13,026 nursing FTES in 2012-13. Grants were awarded based on 1,593 additional enrollments in FY 12-13.

## 3. College Attrition and Completion Rates

 The Chancellor's Office used data reported by the colleges to the Board of Registered Nursing to determine the attrition rate for each college program. Data was collected on students who were scheduled to complete the program between August 1, 2012 and July 31, 2013. These students have benefitted from the specific retention activities that were funded with grant funds. Some colleges have improved their attrition rates with these grants; however, some colleges still have high attrition rates. We are recommending that those colleges with greater than 15 percent attrition apply for Assessment, Remediation and Retention funds for the following year. The attrition data is presented in Table 4. Attrition rates were calculated by using the following data reported by the colleges:- Total number of students scheduled to complete the program between August 1, 2012 and July 31, 2013.
- The number of students who dropped out of the program or were disqualified are subtracted from this number.
- The number of students who completed the program on time, or who are still enrolled in the program remain.


## 4. Equipment/Infrastructure Purchases

In FY 2012-13, colleges reported expenditures of approximately $\$ 359,975$ for capital outlay (equipment and related costs).

## 5 Data Required by SB 1309, Statutes of 2006

SB 1309 (Stats. 2006, Chap. 837) requires the Chancellor's Office to collect and report data from colleges receiving grants on the results of assessment testing for students as a condition of enrollment. Colleges were required to provide remediation to those students who did not achieve a statewide passing score of 62 percent or higher.

The Chancellor's Office works with assessment vendors and colleges to collect the data required in Education Code Section 78261, subdivision (g). The vendors provided information on exam results, gender, ethnicity, and age for students. The colleges then provided information on remediation and enrollment.

In addition, this data reflects students who received assessment testing between January 2012 and June 2013.

In response to subsection $(\mathrm{g})(8)$, Table 6 lists the colleges, the number of students from the colleges that took the licensure exam, and the pass rate from 200809 through 2012-13.

## 6 Data Required by Education Code Section 87482, subdivision (c) (3) - "67 Percent Law"

The 67 percent rule allows the California Community Colleges to hire temporary adjunct faculty to teach up to that limit rather than restricting part-timers to 60 percent. Below is an excerpt from the state Education Code on the " 67 Percent Law" which limits community college part-time faculty to teaching no more than 67 percent of a fulltime load.

Education Code Section 87482, subdivision (c)(3)
(c)(1) Notwithstanding subdivision (b), a person serving as full-time clinical nursing faculty or as parttime clinical nursing faculty teaching the hours per week described in Section 87482.5 may be employed by any one district under this section for up to four semesters or six quarters within any period of three consecutive academic years between July 1, 2007 and June 30, 2014, inclusive.
(3) The chancellor shall report, in writing, to the Legislature and the governor on or before September 30, 2012, in accordance with data received pursuant to paragraph (2), the number of districts that hired faculty under this subdivision, the number of faculty members hired under this subdivision, and what the ratio of full-time to part-time faculty was for these districts in each of the three academic years prior to the operation of this subdivision and for each academic year for which faculty is hired under this subdivision.

A district that employs faculty pursuant to this subdivision shall provide data to the Chancellor's Office:
(1) The number of districts that hired faculty under this subdivision
(2) The number of faculty members hired under this subdivision
(3) The ratio of full-time to part-time faculty for each of the three academic years prior to the operation of this subdivision

Over the four-year legislative reporting timeframe, 33 districts reported having used the 67 percent rule. However, not all schools were able to use the legislative 67 percent rule due to human resources and union issues. Of the schools who reported, ratios for full-time to part-time faculty varied considerably over the fiscal years. Table 7 shows reported full-time to part-time ratios per college that reported, over the four-year period.

Anecdotally, schools that implemented the 67 percent rule were surveyed as to how many students would not be admitted if the school could not use the 67 percent rule. A conservative estimate of the number of students that would be turned away if the school cannot use the 67 percent rule is 351 students annually.

Other anecdotal comments from colleges on the importance of maintaining the 67 percent rule for adjunct nursing faculty are:

1. The main reason for the use of adjunct faculty is to provide for continuity of education for students. Several requirements demand the use of adjunct faculty for long hours in nursing programs.

- Clinical education requires that students do 12 hour shifts, two days a week. The hours build up quickly.
- A clinical rotation may extend 6-18 weeks depending on course and availability of clinical sites.
- When faculty change mid-clinical rotation then there is a disconnect in student evaluation. The new faculty member is not familiar enough with the students' performance to effectively determine progression in skill development. This means that students may be allowed to continue when they are not prepared.

2. A second reason for the 67 percent rule is to meet the requirements of the service institutions where the students obtain their clinical experience.

- A major requirement is that every person entering the hospital to provide patient care must have an orientation. These orientations usually take 6-8 hours. This is a cost to the school and hospitals. Hospitals do not want to keep setting up orientations as the adjunct faculty changes.
- Nursing staff work with several schools. It is very difficult to interact with multiple instructors for the same clinical rotation.
- Hospitals are very concerned about competency of faculty members. If the faculty turns over frequently, the hospital is unable to really judge full competency of the faculty member.
- The use of the 67 percent rule is more cost effective.
- This rule allows the college to be more competitive for faculty with the private sector.
- Colleges using 67 prcent rule have hiring flexibility and needed subject expertise at less cost than full-time faculty.
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Table 1: Funds Allocated for Enrollment Growth Grants Including FTES and Additional Enrollments

| College Enrollment Growth | 2012-13 <br> Allocation Total Includes Assessment | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2008-09 } \\ \text { FTES } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2009-10 } \\ \text { FTES } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2010-11 } \\ \text { FTES } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2011-12 } \\ & \text { FTES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2012-13 } \\ \text { FTES } \end{gathered}$ | 2012-13 <br> Additional Enrollments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allan Hancock College | \$49,787 | 37 | 46 | 51 | 85 | 75 | 6 |
| American River College | \$189,437 | 215 | 215 | 235 | 249 | 60 | 33 |
| Antelope Valley College* | \$ | 306 | 343 | 325 | 255 | 254 |  |
| Bakersfield College | \$175,187 | 329 | 329 | 414 | 381 | 358 | 30 |
| Butte College | \$260,687 | 178 | 178 | 274 | 294 | 231 | 83 |
| Cabrillo College | \$146,687 | 130 | 150 | 170 | 199 | 190 | 20 |
| Cerritos College* |  | 206 | 221 | 244 | 366 | 303 |  |
| Chaffey College | \$118,187 | 104 | 124 | 139 | 122 | 192 | 26 |
| Citrus College | \$203,687 | 66 | 89 | 107 | 74 | 53 | 26 |
| College of Marin | \$146,687 | 91 | 106 | 126 | 119 | 110 | 10 |
| College of San Mateo | \$112,487 | 116 | 126 | 138 | 160 | 150 | 28 |
| College of the Canyons | \$146,687 | 266 | 306 | 326 | 277 | 220 | 20 |
| College of the Desert | \$203,687 | 228 | 228 | 328 | 193 | 162 | 23 |
| College of the Redwoods | \$118,187 | 95 | 106 | 121 | 127 | 135 | 15 |
| College of Sequoias ** | \$118,187 |  |  |  |  | 338 | 104 |
| College of the Siskiyous | \$203,687 | 26 | 45 | 75 | 60 | 41 | 30 |
| El Camino College (Compton Edu.) |  | 133 | 178 | 178 | 103 | 115 | 20 |
| Contra Costa College | \$123,887 | 55 | 70 | 90 | 106 | 176 | 16 |
| Copper Mountain College | \$237,887 | 101 | 122 | 154 | 72 | 88 | 3 |
| Cypress College | \$146,687 | 263 | 283 | 256 | 263 | 250 | 20 |
| El Camino College | \$232,187 | 149 | 197 | 197 | 99 | 143 | 20 |
| Evergreen Valley College | \$146,687 | 157 | 164 | 184 | 192 | 179 | 10 |
| Fresno City College | \$260,687 | 582 | 380 | 480 | 645 | 862 | 60 |
| Gavilan College | \$89,687 | 22 | 31 | 41 | 51 | 109 | 10 |
| Glendale College | \$146,687 | 204 | 264 | 312 | 247 | 234 | 40 |
| Golden West College | \$175,187 | 269 | 298 | 301 | 328 | 284 | 22 |
| Grossmont (New Program) | \$103,937 |  |  |  | 226 | 309 | 30 |
| Hartnell** | \$89,687 |  |  |  | 130 | 87 | 10 |
| Los Angeles Harbor College | \$118,187 | 244 | 344 | 297 | 268 | 254 | 20 |
| Los Angeles Pierce College | \$135,287 | 138 | 158 | 171 | 255 | 386 | 24 |
| Los Angeles Southwest College | \$118,187 | 190 | 209 | 224 | 132 | 104 | 20 |
| Los Angeles Trade Tech College | \$186,587 | 133 | 145 | 153 | 144 | 126 | 24 |


| College Enrollment Growth | 2012-13 <br> Allocation Total Includes Assessment | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2008-09 } \\ \text { FTES } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2009-10 } \\ & \text { FTES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2010-11 } \\ \text { FTES } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2011-12 } \\ \text { FTES } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2012-13 } \\ \text { FTES } \end{gathered}$ | 2012-13 <br> Additional Enrollments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Los Angeles Valley College | \$232,187 | 227 | 255 | 290 | 290 | 258 | 40 |
| Los Medanos College | \$123,887 | 99 | 115 | 126 | 122 | 119 | 8 |
| Mendocino College | \$169,487 | 39 | 64 | 76 | 68 | 57 | 25 |
| Merced College | \$260,687 | 99 | 142 | 182 | 187 | 180 | 47 |
| Merritt College | \$260,687 | 88 | 113 | 148 | 119 | 100 | 7 |
| Mira Costa College | \$260,687 | 35 | 56 | 90 | 48 | 46 | 0 |
| Mission College | \$146,687 | 39 | 58 | 79 | 36 | 36 | 40 |
| Modesto Jr. College | \$220,787 | 289 | 311 | 337 | 365 | 321 | 34 |
| Monterey Peninsula College | \$140,987 | 98 | 118 | 135 | 123 | 100 | 9 |
| Moorpark College | \$126,737 | 176 | 186 | 197 | 80 | 69 | 22 |
| Mt. San Antonio College | \$260,687 | 366 | 401 | 425 | 302 | 295 | 48 |
| Mt. San Jacinto College | \$162,274 | 145 | 154 | 164 | 129 | 125 | 20 |
| Napa Valley College | \$146,687 | 197 | 257 | 297 | 186 | 107 | 40 |
| Palomar College | \$152,387 | 146 | 183 | 223 | 186 | 232 | 4 |
| Pasadena** | \$118,187 |  |  | 120 | 120 | 257 | 20 |
| Rio Hondo College | \$146,687 | 193 | 216 | 241 | 222 | 223 | 40 |
| Riverside College | \$350,374 | 369 | 435 | 520 | 487 | 484 | 40 |
| Sacramento City** | \$66,887 |  |  | 118 | 124 | 113 | 29 |
| Saddleback College | \$259,174 | 241 | 274 | 298 | 389 | 378 | 32 |
| San Francisco** | \$140,987 |  |  | 100 | 657 | 576 | 11 |
| San Joaquin Delta College | \$89,687 | 315 | 375 | 455 | 379 | 332 | 10 |
| Santa Ana College | \$135,287 | 256 | 273 | 285 | 301 | 292 | 24 |
| Santa Barbara City College | \$89,687 | 109 | 118 | 128 | 117 | 74 | 10 |
| Santa Monica College | \$118,187 | 159 | 199 | 222 | 205 | 202 | 20 |
| Santa Rosa Junior College | \$260,687 | 227 | 265 | 325 | 220 | 220 | 60 |
| Shasta College | \$297,737 | 125 | 155 | 195 | 151 | 167 | 22 |
| Solano Community College | \$300,587 | 143 | 227 | 245 | 190 | 172 | 28 |
| Southwestern College | \$89,687 | 135 | 161 | 171 | 174 | 149 | 10 |
| Ventura College | \$158,087 | 213 | 248 | 266 | 266 | 266 | 22 |
| Victor Valley College | \$126,737 | 210 | 235 | 258 | 144 | 144 | 22 |
| West Hills-Lemoore College** | \$254,987 | 62 | 98 | 134 | 108 | 97 | 36 |
| Yuba College | \$206,537 | 117 | 137 | 163 | 206 | 257 | 10 |
| Totals | \$10,379,767 | 9,950 | 11,284 | 13,124 | 12,923 | 13,026 | 1,593 |

$\$ 10,379,767$ Total includes diagnostic and support services.

* Received Assessment and Retention Funds
** New Program

Table 2: Assessment and Retention Funds Allocated

| College | Amount | College | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allan Hancock | \$32,687 | Los Angeles Trade-Tech | \$32,687 |
| American River | \$32,687 | Los Angeles Valley | \$32,687 |
| Antelope Valley | \$164,000 | Los Medanos | \$32,687 |
| Bakersfield | \$32,687 | Mendocino | \$32,687 |
| Butte | \$32,687 | Merced | \$32,687 |
| Cabrillo | \$32,687 | Merritt | \$32,687 |
| Cerritos | \$335,000 | MiraCosta | \$32,687 |
| Chabot | \$335,000 | Mission | \$32,687 |
| Chaffey | \$32,687 | Modesto Jr. | \$32,687 |
| Citrus | \$32,687 | Monterey Peninsula | \$32,687 |
| College of Marin | \$32,687 | Moorpark | \$32,687 |
| College of San Mateo | \$32,687 | Mt. San Antonio | \$32,687 |
| College of the Canyons | \$32,687 | Mt. San Jacinto | \$32,687 |
| College of the Desert | \$32,687 | Napa Valley | \$32,687 |
| College of the Redwoods | \$32,687 | Palomar | \$32,687 |
| College of the Sequoias | \$32,687 | Pasadena | \$32,687 |
| College of the Siskiyous | \$32,687 | Reedley | \$107,000 |
| Contra Costa | \$32,687 | Rio Hondo | \$32,687 |
| Copper Mountain | \$32,687 | Riverside | \$32,687 |
| Cuesta | \$311,721 | Saddleback | \$32,687 |
| Cypress | \$32,687 | Sacramento City | \$32,687 |
| East Los Angeles | \$335,000 | San Diego | \$221,000 |
| El Camino | \$32,687 | San Francisco City College | \$32,687 |
| Evergreen Valley | \$32,687 | San Joaquin Delta | \$32,687 |
| Fresno City | \$32,687 | Santa Ana | \$32,687 |
| Gavilan | \$32,687 | Santa Barbara City | \$32,687 |
| Glendale | \$32,687 | Santa Monica | \$32,687 |
| Golden West | \$32,687 | Santa Rosa Junior | \$32,687 |
| Grossmont | \$32,687 | Shasta | \$32,687 |
| Hartnell | \$32,687 | Sierra | \$107,000 |
| Imperial | \$141,200 | Solano | \$32,687 |
| Long Beach | \$335,000 | Southwestern | \$32,687 |
| Los Angeles City | \$335,000 | Ventura | \$32,687 |
| Los Angeles Harbor | \$32,687 | Victor Valley | \$32,687 |
| Los Angeles Pierce | \$32,687 | West Hills - Lemoore | \$32,687 |
| Los Angeles Southwest | \$32,687 | Yuba | \$32,687 |
| Total |  |  | \$4,314,687 |

Table 3: Workforce Investment Act Funds Nursing Education Initiative Phase II

| College | Region | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | Additional Enrollments |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Healthy Community Forum |  |  | 2 |
| American River | 1 | $\$ 190,000$ | 20 |
| Butte | 5 | $\$ 190,000$ | 12 |
| Sequoias | 9 | $\$ 190,000$ | 120 |
| Mt. San Jacinto |  | Total | $\$ 190,000$ |

The remaining $\$ 40,000$ goes to administration for a total of $\$ 800,000$.

Table 4: Community College Associate Degree Nursing (RN) 2012-13 Retention/Completion Data

| College | Pgm. | Scheduled to Complete | Completed on Time | Dropped Out | Still Enrolled | Completed Late | For Attrition Calculation: Scheduled to Complete | Attrition Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allan Hancock College | LVN | 36 | 33 | 3 | 0 |  |  | 8.3\% |
| American River College | GADN | 70 | 49 | 9 | 12 |  |  | 12.9\% |
| Antelope Valley College | GADN | 100 | 63 | 10 | 27 |  |  | 10.0\% |
| Bakersfield College | GADN | 108 | 71 | 20 | 17 |  |  | 18.5\% |
| Butte College | GADN | 90 | 82 | 8 | 0 |  |  | 8.9\% |
| Cabrillo College | GADN | 58 | 47 | 3 | 8 |  |  | 5.2\% |
| Cerritos College | GADN | 99 | 70 | 22 | 7 |  |  | 22.2\% |
| Chabot College | GADN | 56 | 30 | 21 | 5 |  |  | 37.5\% |
| Chaffey College | GADN | 72 | 60 | 4 | 8 |  |  | 5.6\% |
| Citrus College | GADN | 27 | 25 | 2 | 0 |  |  | 7.4\% |
| City College of San Francisco | GADN | 88 | 65 | 21 | 2 |  |  | 23.9\% |
| College of Marin | GADN | 46 | 41 | 5 | 0 |  |  | 10.9\% |
| College of San Mateo | GADN | 48 | 39 | 7 | 2 |  |  | 14.6\% |
| College of the Canyons | GADN | 108 | 84 | 15 | 9 |  |  | 13.9\% |
| College of the Desert | GADN | 60 | 40 | 16 | 4 |  |  | 26.7\% |
| College of the Redwoods | GADN | 36 | 30 | 3 | 3 |  |  | 8.3\% |
| College of the Sequoias | GADN | 122 | 112 | 8 | 2 |  |  | 6.6\% |
| College of the Siskiyous | LVN | 30 | 28 | 2 | 0 |  |  | 6.7\% |
| Contra Costa College | GADN | 32 | 32 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0.0\% |
| Copper Mountain College | GADN | 30 | 16 | 14 | 0 |  |  | 46.7\% |
| Cuesta College | GADN | 46 | 42 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 6.5\% |
| Cypress College | GADN | 90 | 80 | 5 | 5 |  |  | 5.6\% |
| De Anza College | GADN | 60 | 42 | 14 | 4 |  |  | 23.3\% |
| East Los Angeles College | GADN | 34 | 26 | 5 | 3 |  |  | 14.7\% |
| El Camino College | GADN | 73 | 33 | 28 | 12 |  |  | 38.4\% |
| El Camino College Compton Center | GADN | 53 | 32 | 10 | 11 |  |  | 18.9\% |
| Fresno City College | GADN | 1,451 | 1,430 | 21 |  |  |  | 1.4\% |
| Gavilan College | LVN | 22 | 20 | 2 | 0 |  |  | 9.1\% |
| Glendale Community College | GADN | 75 | 62 | 10 | 3 |  |  | 13.3\% |
| Golden West College | GADN | 112 | 96 | 14 | 2 |  |  | 12.5\% |
| Grossmont College | GADN | 79 | 60 | 18 | 1 |  |  | 22.8\% |
| Hartnell College | GADN | 36 | 27 | 7 | 2 |  |  | 19.4\% |
| Imperial Valley College | GADN | 41 | 34 | 1 | 6 |  |  | 2.4\% |
| Long Beach City College | GADN | 117 | 87 | 28 | 2 |  |  | 23.9\% |


| College | Pgm. | Scheduled to Complete | Completed on Time | Dropped Out | Still Enrolled | Completed Late | For Attrition Calculation: Scheduled to Complete | Attrition Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Los Angeles City College | GADN | 73 | 48 | 15 | 10 |  |  | 20.5\% |
| Los Angeles Harbor College | GADN | 88 | 34 | 39 | 15 |  |  | 44.3\% |
| Los Angeles Pierce College | GADN | 67 | 42 | 16 | 9 |  |  | 23.9\% |
| Los Angeles Southwest College | GADN | 48 | 29 | 7 | 12 |  |  | 14.6\% |
| Los Angeles Trade Technical College | GADN | 75 | 30 | 30 | 15 |  |  | 40.0\% |
| Los Angeles Valley College | GADN | 85 | 49 | 16 | 20 |  |  | 18.8\% |
| Los Medanos College | GADN | 32 | 31 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 3.1\% |
| Mendocino College | GADN | 21 | 18 | 3 | 0 |  |  | 14.3\% |
| Merced College | GADN | 57 | 37 | 17 | 3 |  |  | 29.8\% |
| Merritt College | GADN | 55 | 22 | 28 | 5 |  |  | 50.9\% |
| Mira Costa College | GADN | 40 | 38 | 2 | 0 |  |  | 5.0\% |
| Mission College | LVN | 39 | 37 | 2 | 0 |  |  | 5.1\% |
| Modesto Junior College | GADN | 20 | 18 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 5.0\% |
| Modesto Junior College | GADN | 130 | 100 | 10 | 20 |  |  | 7.7\% |
| Monterey Peninsula College | GADN | 33 | 27 | 6 | 0 |  |  | 18.2\% |
| Moorpark College | GADN | 97 | 53 | 44 | 0 |  |  | 45.4\% |
| Mt San Antonio College | GADN | 112 | 70 | 26 | 16 |  |  | 23.2\% |
| Mt San Jacinto College | GADN | 59 | 54 | 5 | 0 |  |  | 8.5\% |
| Napa Valley College | GADN | 38 | 0 | 0 | 38 |  |  | 0.0\% |
| Ohlone College | GADN | 42 | 30 | 5 | 7 |  |  | 11.9\% |
| Palomar College | GADN | 87 | 54 | 32 | 1 |  |  | 36.8\% |
| Pasadena City College | GADN | 156 | 123 | 11 | 22 |  |  | 7.1\% |
| Porterville College | GADN | 20 | 19 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 5.0\% |
| Reedley College | LVN | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0.0\% |
| Rio Hondo College | GADN | 96 | 75 | 15 | 6 |  |  | 15.6\% |
| Riverside City College | GADN | 138 | 119 | 14 | 5 |  |  | 10.1\% |
| Sacramento City College | GADN | 58 | 46 | 11 | 1 |  |  | 19.0\% |
| Saddleback College | GADN | 111 | 93 | 12 | 6 |  |  | 10.8\% |
| San Bernardino Valley College | GADN | 80 | 70 | 10 | 0 |  |  | 12.5\% |
| San Diego City College | GADN | 60 | 43 | 12 | 5 |  |  | 20.0\% |
| San Joaquin Delta College | GADN | 145 | 133 | 10 | 2 |  |  | 6.9\% |
| San Jose-Evergreen CCD | GADN | 78 | 53 | 22 | 3 |  |  | 28.2\% |
| Santa Ana College | GADN | 82 | 50 | 20 | 12 |  |  | 24.4\% |
| Santa Barbara City College | GADN | 59 | 48 | 9 | 2 |  |  | 15.3\% |
| Santa Monica College | GADN | 77 | 47 | 24 | 6 |  |  | 31.2\% |
| Santa Rosa Junior College | GADN | 116 | 105 | 8 | 3 |  |  | 6.9\% |
| Shasta College | GADN | 54 | 37 | 14 | 3 |  |  | 25.9\% |
| Sierra College | GADN | 40 | 37 | 3 | 0 |  |  | 7.5\% |
| Solano Community College | GADN | 51 | 28 | 23 | 0 |  |  | 45.1\% |
| Southwestern Community College | GADN | 60 | 0 | 4 | 56 |  |  | 6.7\% |
| Ventura College | GADN | 108 | 66 | 33 | 9 |  |  | 30.6\% |
| Victor Valley College | GADN | 97 | 64 | 17 | 16 |  |  | 17.5\% |
| West Hills College Lemoore | GADN | 24 | 20 | 4 | 0 |  |  | 16.7\% |
| Yuba College | GADN | 20 | 18 | 0 | 2 |  |  | 0.0\% |
| Yuba College | GADN | 53 | 53 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0.0\% |
| Overall |  | 6,699 | 5,269 | 941 | 347 |  |  | 12.97\% |

## Table 5: Data for Colleges that Used Assessment Testing as Part of the Selection Process

Total Number of Students Assessed: 13,005
Total Number of Students Who Passed Test: 10,478
Percent of Total: 81\%

| Gender | Total | Passed | Percent of Total | Not Passed | Percent of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 13,900 | 10,931 | $79 \%$ | 2,969 | $21 \%$ |
| Male | 3,078 | 2649 | $86 \%$ | 429 | $14 \%$ |
| Not listed | 360 | 277 | $77 \%$ | 83 | $23 \%$ |


| Ethnicity | Total | Passed | Percent of Total | Not Passed | Percent of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African-American | 854 | 532 | $62 \%$ | 320 | $37 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | 84 | 69 | $82 \%$ | 15 | $18 \%$ |
| Asian | 3,372 | 2,579 | $76 \%$ | 786 | $23 \%$ |
| Filipino | 374 | 292 | $78 \%$ | 73 | $20 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 4,237 | 2,977 | $70 \%$ | 1,257 | $30 \%$ |
| Other Non-White | 229 | 190 | $83 \%$ | 36 | $16 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | 34 | 24 | $71 \%$ | 9 | $26 \%$ |
| Unknown/Non-Respondent | 1,540 | 1,223 | $79 \%$ | 317 | $21 \%$ |
| White | 123 | 110 | $89 \%$ | 13 | $11 \%$ |
| White Non-Hispanic | 6,523 | 5,861 | $90 \%$ | 655 | $10 \%$ |


| Language Spoken at Home | Total | Passed | Percent of Total | Not Passed | Percent of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arabic | 2 | 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| Chinese | 56 | 51 | $91 \%$ | 5 | $9 \%$ |
| English | 14,761 | 12,045 | $82 \%$ | 2,684 | $18 \%$ |
| Farsi | 19 | 12 | $63 \%$ | 7 | $37 \%$ |
| Other | 368 | 274 | $74 \%$ | 94 | $26 \%$ |
| Russian | 53 | 40 | $75 \%$ | 13 | $25 \%$ |
| Spanish | 678 | 391 | $58 \%$ | 287 | $42 \%$ |
| Tagalog | 81 | 58 | $72 \%$ | 23 | $28 \%$ |
| No Response | 1,353 | 985 | $73 \%$ | 368 | $27 \%$ |


| Disability Accommodation | Total | Passed | Percent of Total | Not Passed | Percent of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | 10,518 | 8,593 | $82 \%$ | 1,893 | $18 \%$ |
| Yes | 248 | 188 | $76 \%$ | 60 | $24 \%$ |
| No Response | 6,604 | 5,076 | $77 \%$ | 1,528 | $23 \%$ |


| Age | Total | Passed | Percent of Total | Not Passed | Percent of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<20$ | 31 | 31 | $100 \%$ |  | $0 \%$ |
| $20-24$ | 1,293 | 1,202 | $93 \%$ | 87 | $7 \%$ |
| $25-29$ | 1,340 | 1,173 | $88 \%$ | 159 | $12 \%$ |
| $30-34$ | 947 | 846 | $89 \%$ | 97 | $10 \%$ |
| $35-39$ | 592 | 508 | $86 \%$ | 75 | $13 \%$ |
| $40-44$ | 427 | 375 | $88 \%$ | 50 | $12 \%$ |
| $45-49$ | 237 | 199 | $84 \%$ | 37 | $16 \%$ |
| $>50$ | 192 | 159 | $83 \%$ | 30 | $16 \%$ |
| No Record | 12,311 | 9,364 | $76 \%$ | 2,946 | $24 \%$ |


| Status of Successful Students |  | Spring 2011 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fall } \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ | Spring $2012$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0:Tested but not applied at this site |  | 522 | 440 | 441 |
| 1:Applied but not selected |  | 1,439 | 1,114 | 1,108 |
| 2:Selected but need to remediate |  | 24 | 20 | 20 |
| 3:Selected but not enrolled (on waitlist) |  | 892 | 845 | 824 |
| 4:Selected but not enrolled (choosing not to enroll) |  | 243 | 176 | 169 |
| 5:Initial enrollment |  | 1,199 | 1,041 | 1,014 |
| 6:Continuing enrollment |  | 992 | 828 | 816 |
| 7:Graduation |  | 75 | 30 | 30 |
| 8:Dropped for academic reasons (not eligible for return) |  | 24 | 17 | 16 |
| 9:Dropped for academic reasons (eligible for return) |  | 106 | 96 | 96 |
| 10:Dropped for other reasons |  | 73 | 35 | 35 |
| 11:Transfer out |  | 6 | 5 | 5 |
| 12: Transfer in (initial enroll) |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| N/A |  | 2,107 | 832 | 1,367 |
| X:No information available at this date* |  | 6,153 | 5,938 | 5,933 |
|  | Grand Total | 13,857 | 11,419 | 11,876 |

*Taken from survey

|  | Spring | Fall |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Status of Unsuccessful Students | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | Spring <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |  |
| 0:Tested but not applied at this site | 210 | 188 | 188 |
| 1:Applied but not selected | 445 | 315 | 314 |
| 2:Selected but need to remediate | 241 | 167 | 156 |
| 3:Selected but not enrolled (on waitlist) | 77 | 74 | 67 |
| 4:Selected but not enrolled (choosing not to enroll) | 11 | 10 | 9 |
| 5:Initial enrollment | 101 | 73 | 67 |
| 6:Continuing enrollment | 37 | 27 | 27 |
| 7:Graduation | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 8:Acad Drop (no return) | 15 | 13 | 1 |
| 8:Dropped for academic reasons (not eligible for return) | 11 | 7 | 13 |
| 9:Dropped for academic reasons (eligible for return) | 15 | 3 | 8 |
| 10:Dropped for other reasons | 486 | 176 | 3 |
| N/A | 1,829 | 1,632 | 274 |
| X:No information available at this date* | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 1,631 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 , 6 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 6 1}$ |  |

*Taken from survey

| Remediation <br> Participation | Count | Percent in <br> Remediation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | 2,978 |  |
| Yes | 1,294 | $7 \%$ |
| N/A | 13,098 |  |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{1 7 , 3 7 0}$ |
|  |  |  |


| Remediation <br> Completion | Count | Percent in <br> Remediation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | 2,147 |  |
| Yes | 801 | $5 \%$ |
| NA | 14,422 |  |
|  | Total | 17,370 |

Table 6: National Council Licensing Exam - Registered Nursing Community College Pass Rates

| School | 2008/2009 |  | 2009/2010 |  | 2010/2011 |  | 2011/2012 |  | 2012/2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. Taken | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Pass } \end{gathered}$ | No. Taken | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Pass } \end{gathered}$ | No. Taken | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Pass } \end{gathered}$ | No. Taken | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Pass } \end{gathered}$ | No. Taken | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Pass } \end{gathered}$ |
| Allan Hancock College | 40 | 80\% | 35 | 80\% | 33 | 84.85\% | 37 | 86.49\% | 35 | 82.86\% |
| American River College | 118 | 91.53\% | 103 | 92.23\% | 95 | 90.53\% | 80 | 95\% | 55 | 94.55\% |
| American University of Health Sciences | 1 | 100\% | 22 | 90.91\% | 17 | 70.59\% | 26 | 73.08\% | 36 | 50\% |
| Antelope Valley College | 129 | 89.92\% | 129 | 94.57\% | 140 | 85.71\% | 105 | 90.48\% | 112 | 91.96\% |
| Azusa Pacific University - BSN | 134 | 87.31\% | 123 | 84.55\% | 179 | 83.24\% | 152 | 80.26\% | 273 | 87.18\% |
| Azusa Pacific University - MSN | 36 | 94.44\% | 39 | 79.49\% | 35 | 88.57\% | 30 | 80\% | 18 | 94.44\% |
| Bakersfield College | 151 | 80.13\% | 190 | 93.16\% | 126 | 88.10\% | 145 | 93.10\% | 99 | 97.98\% |
| Biola University | 39 | 87.18\% | 24 | 83.33\% | 36 | 88.89\% | 37 | 91.89\% | 23 | 82.61\% |
| Butte College | 72 | 79.17\% | 85 | 82.35\% | 111 | 82.88\% | 99 | 87.88\% | 122 | 86.07\% |
| Cabrillo College | 64 | 85.94\% | 57 | 85.96\% | 65 | 80.00\% | 53 | 77.36\% | 58 | 86.21\% |
| California Baptist University - BSN | 22 | 95.45\% | 48 | 91.67\% | 49 | 85.71\% | 44 | 90.91\% | 71 | 78.87\% |
| California Baptist University - MSN | N/ | N/A | 6 | 100\% | 16 | 93.75\% | 15 | 100\% | 19 | 84.21\% |
| CSU Bakersfield - BSN | 94 | 86.17\% | 67 | 92.54\% | 78 | 92.31\% | 95 | 96.84\% | 78 | 97.44\% |
| CSU Bakersfield - MSN | N/A | N/A | 1 | 100\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| CSU Channel Islands | N/A | N/A | 17 | 94.12\% | 58 | 86.21\% | 33 | 100\% | 25 | 96\% |
| CSU Chico | 77 | 84.42\% | 87 | 87.36\% | 97 | 92.78\% | 89 | 96.63\% | 80 | 92.5\% |
| CSU Dominguez Hills | 24 | 79.17\% | 26 | 65.38\% | 46 | 78.26\% | 40 | 80\% | 20 | 70\% |
| CSU East Bay | 89 | 92.13\% | 109 | 96.33\% | 125 | 88.80\% | 109 | 91.74\% | 122 | 82.79\% |
| CSU Fresno - BSN | 114 | 91.23\% | 120 | 90.83\% | 151 | 90.07\% | 125 | 91.20\% | 105 | 91.43\% |
| CSU Fresno - MSN | 1 | 0\% | 2 | 50\% | 18 | 77.78\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| CSU Fullerton - BSN | N/A | N/A | 1 | 100\% | 19 | 84.21\% | 30 | 66.67\% | 43 | 93.02\% |
| CSU Fullerton - MSN | 57 | 89.47\% | 54 | 81.48\% | 27 | 92.59\% | 10 | 80\% | 25 | 80\% |
| CSU Long Beach - BSN | 209 | 93.78\% | 177 | 95.48\% | 191 | 97.38\% | 182 | 96.7\% | 194 | 95.88\% |
| CSU Long Beach - MSN | N/A | N/A | 8 | 100\% | 2 | 100\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| CSU Los Angeles - BSN | 103 | 93.2\% | 50 | 88\% | 86 | 93.35\% | 97 | 89.69\% | 77 | 96.1\% |
| CSU Los Angeles - MSN | 19 | 100\% | 18 | 94.44\% | 21 | 100.00\% | 24 | 95.83\% | 21 | 100\% |
| CSU Northridge | 16 | 100\% | 31 | 93.55\% | 37 | 91.89\% | 34 | 97.06\% | 38 | 94.74\% |
| CSU Sacramento - BSN | 202 | 95.54\% | 180 | 98.33\% | 143 | 97.20\% | 144 | 98.61\% | 176 | 97.73\% |
| CSU Sacramento - MSN | N/A | N/A | 1 | 100\% | N/A | N/A | 1 | 100\% | N/A | N/A |
| CSU San Bernardino | 165 | 83.03\% | 144 | 88.89\% | 109 | 83.49\% | 152 | 80.92\% | 110 | 89.09\% |
| CSU San Marcos | 43 | 95.35\% | 93 | 80.65\% | 130 | 90.00\% | 194 | 93.81\% | 153 | 97.39\% |
| CSU Stanislaus | 23 | 100\% | 48 | 95.83\% | 83 | 95.18\% | 60 | 100\% | 67 | 94.03\% |
| Carrington College | 46 | 84.78\% | 52 | 82.69\% | 61 | 81.97\% | 29 | 82.76\% | 35 | 85.71\% |
| Cerritos College | 81 | 96.3\% | 96 | 93.75\% | 97 | 94.85\% | 99 | 85.86\% | 90 | 87.78\% |
| Chabot College | 46 | 97.83\% | 40 | 95\% | 39 | 100.00\% | 55 | 98.18\% | 27 | 96.3\% |
| Chaffey College | 40 | 100\% | 52 | 96.15\% | 43 | 100.00\% | 50 | 98\% | 60 | 98.33\% |
| Charles Drew University | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 1 | 100\% | 20 | 95\% |
| Citrus College | 22 | 77.27\% | 1 | 100\% | 40 | 95.00\% | 30 | 80\% | 29 | 100\% |
| City College of San Francisco | 99 | 83.84\% | 103 | 89.32\% | 97 | 85.57\% | 80 | 92.50\% | 71 | 76.05\% |


| School | 2008/2009 |  | 2009/2010 |  | 2010/2011 |  | 2011/2012 |  | 2012/2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. Taken | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Pass } \end{gathered}$ | No. Taken | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Pass } \end{gathered}$ | No. Taken | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Pass } \end{gathered}$ | No. Taken | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Pass } \end{gathered}$ | No. Taken | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Pass } \end{gathered}$ |
| College of San Mateo | 59 | 83.05\% | 55 | 70.91\% | 62 | 82.26\% | 52 | 82.69\% | 33 | 87.88\% |
| College of the Desert | 104 | 85.58\% | 115 | 92.17\% | 88 | 85.23\% | 107 | 90.65\% | 66 | 81.82\% |
| College of the Redwoods | 69 | 81.16\% | 43 | 76.74\% | 44 | 88.64\% | 45 | 84.44\% | 46 | 82.61\% |
| College of the Sequoias | 173 | 91.33\% | 155 | 89.03\% | 142 | 90.14\% | 129 | 79.07\% | 124 | 88.71\% |
| College of the Siskiyous | 22 | 95.45\% | 27 | 96.3\% | 22 | 77.27\% | 22 | 100\% | 26 | 88.46\% |
| Concordia University Irvine | N/A | N/A | 48 | 85.42\% | 53 | 92.45\% | 49 | 97.96\% | 67 | 97.01\% |
| Contra Costa College | 52 | 92.31\% | 51 | 96.08\% | 49 | 93.88\% | 61 | 91.80\% | 46 | 93.48\% |
| Copper Mountain College | 19 | 84.21\% | 29 | 75.86\% | 35 | 80.00\% | 21 | 90.48\% | 27 | 81.48\% |
| Cuesta College | 55 | 90.91\% | 51 | 92.16\% | 45 | 93.33\% | 44 | 95.45\% | 44 | 97.73\% |
| Cypress College | 100 | 94\% | 83 | 95.18\% | 73 | 94.52\% | 83 | 93.98\% | 84 | 85.71\% |
| De Anza College | 78 | 87.18\% | 85 | 85.88\% | 75 | 76.00\% | 60 | 90\% | 59 | 88.14\% |
| Dominican University of California | 80 | 81.25\% | 71 | 83.1\% | 92 | 76.09\% | 118 | 74.58\% | 89 | 83.15\% |
| East Los Angeles College | 107 | 74.77\% | 120 | 61.67\% | 84 | 69.05\% | 124 | 82.26\% | 114 | 62.28\% |
| El Camino College | 103 | 91.26\% | 113 | 92.04\% | 69 | 94.20\% | 46 | 97.83\% | 59 | 96.61\% |
| El Camino College Compton Education Center | 65 | 66.15\% | 57 | 71.93\% | 44 | 81.82\% | 59 | 84.75\% | 54 | 81.48\% |
| Everest College | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 64 | 68.75\% | 65 | 87.69\% | 73 | 87.67\% |
| Evergreen Valley College | 47 | 89.36\% | 65 | 86.15\% | 69 | 79.71\% | 72 | 83.33\% | 65 | 90.77\% |
| Fresno City College | 268 | 76.49\% | 308 | 77.92\% | 323 | 81.11\% | 230 | 82.61\% | 341 | 78.01\% |
| Gavilan College | 19 | 84.21\% | 23 | 91.3\% | 17 | 100.00\% | 13 | 92.31\% | 15 | 100\% |
| Glendale Community College | 94 | 88.3\% | 115 | 93.04\% | 98 | 91.84\% | 84 | 94.05\% | 79 | 89.87\% |
| Golden West College | 172 | 87.79\% | 143 | 91.61\% | 113 | 88.50\% | 134 | 92.54\% | 101 | 92.08\% |
| Grossmont College | 102 | 84.31\% | 141 | 89.36\% | 103 | 92.23\% | 59 | 96.61\% | 71 | 95.77\% |
| Hartnell College | 32 | 84.38\% | 37 | 91.89\% | 52 | 94.23\% | 34 | 94.12\% | 32 | 100\% |
| Holy Names University | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 3 | 66.67\% |
| Humboldt State University | 46 | 91.3\% | 50 | 82\% | 58 | 91.38\% | 64 | 93.75\% | 21 | 90.48\% |
| Imperial Valley College | 75 | 61.33\% | 76 | 88.16\% | 66 | 80.30\% | 40 | 95\% | 34 | 100\% |
| Kaplan College | 130 | 82.31\% | 183 | 93.99\% | 140 | 77.86\% | 133 | 90.98\% | 171 | 75.44\% |
| Loma Linda University - ADN | 121 | 91.74\% | 113 | 92.92\% | 119 | 93.28\% | 91 | 87.91\% | 10 | 90\% |
| Loma Linda University - BSN | 12 | 83.33\% | 7 | 85.71\% | 10 | 80.00\% | 22 | 81.82\% | 61 | 83.61\% |
| Long Beach City College | 135 | 97.04\% | 110 | 98.18\% | 127 | 92.91\% | 114 | 96.49\% | 120 | 91.67\% |
| LA City College | 65 | 86.15\% | 39 | 89.74\% | 44 | 95.45\% | 43 | 97.67\% | 46 | 97.83\% |
| LA Country College of Nursing \& Allied | 120 | 95.83\% | 129 | 97.67\% | 95 | 95.79\% | 104 | 97.12\% | 74 | 98.65\% |
| LA Harbor College | 97 | 95.88\% | 105 | 95.24\% | 104 | 98.08\% | 102 | 97.06\% | 57 | 98.25\% |
| LA Pierce College | 48 | 85.42\% | 48 | 97.92\% | 54 | 83.33\% | 56 | 91.07\% | 48 | 95.83\% |
| LA Southwest College | 49 | 79.59\% | 40 | 82.5\% | 42 | 83.33\% | 60 | 73.33\% | 34 | 79.41\% |
| LA Trade-Tech College | 32 | 62.5\% | 65 | 75.38\% | 30 | 90.00\% | 38 | 97.37\% | 43 | 86.05\% |
| LA Valley College | 71 | 90.14\% | 109 | 88.07\% | 86 | 91.86\% | 73 | 95.89\% | 74 | 90.54\% |
| Los Medanos College | 45 | 91.11\% | 59 | 91.53\% | 46 | 89.13\% | 46 | 86.96\% | 12 | 75\% |
| Mendocino College | 37 | 94.59\% | 15 | 93.33\% | 28 | 96.43\% | 20 | 95\% | 19 | 94.74\% |


| School | 2008/2009 |  | 2009/2010 |  | 2010/2011 |  | 2011/2012 |  | 2012/2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. Taken | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Pass } \end{gathered}$ | No. Taken | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Pass } \end{gathered}$ | No. Taken | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Pass } \end{gathered}$ | No. Taken | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Pass } \end{gathered}$ | No. Taken | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Pass } \end{gathered}$ |
| Merritt College | 24 | 95.83\% | 68 | 97.06\% | 18 | 100.00\% | 25 | 92\% | 38 | 100\% |
| Mira Costa College | 26 | 100\% | 21 | 90.48\% | 29 | 96.55\% | 42 | 92.86\% | 55 | 100\% |
| Mission College | N/A | N/A | 28 | 82.14\% | 38 | 81.58\% | 40 | 82.50\% | 40 | 85\% |
| Modesto Junior College | 125 | 93.6\% | 123 | 86.18\% | 145 | 84.83\% | 108 | 89.81\% | 129 | 91.47\% |
| Monterey Peninsula College | 44 | 86.36\% | 52 | 100\% | 53 | 96.23\% | 21 | 100\% | 30 | 86.67\% |
| Moorpark College | 76 | 89.47\% | 94 | 88.3\% | 62 | 90.32\% | 47 | 100\% | 76 | 96.05\% |
| Mount St. Mary's College - ADN | 107 | 82.24\% | 94 | 89.36\% | 85 | 78.82\% | 84 | 78.57\% | 98 | 83.67\% |
| Mount St. Mary's College - BSN | 164 | 84.76\% | 137 | 86.13\% | 162 | 76.54\% | 145 | 86.21\% | 128 | 85.94\% |
| Mt. San Antonio College | 239 | 89.54\% | 169 | 94.08\% | 158 | 91.14\% | 135 | 91.11\% | 92 | 81.52\% |
| Mt. San Jacinto College | 70 | 88.57\% | 75 | 96\% | 79 | 84.81\% | 57 | 85.96\% | 57 | 96.49\% |
| Napa Valley College | 75 | 78.67\% | 69 | 84.06\% | 91 | 90.11\% | 93 | 88.17\% | 73 | 91.78\% |
| National University - ADN | 45 | 68.89\% | 10 | 90\% | 3 | 100.00\% | N/A | N/A | 2 | 100\% |
| National University - BSN | 69 | 79.71\% | 148 | 77.7\% | 188 | 75.53\% | 179 | 81.56\% | 261 | 92.34\% |
| Ohlone College | 46 | 95.65\% | 48 | 95.83\% | 59 | 96.61\% | 34 | 94.12\% | 32 | 93.75\% |
| Pacific Union College | 111 | 81.08\% | 83 | 91.57\% | 91 | 87.91\% | 66 | 84.85\% | 90 | 87.78\% |
| Palomar College | 71 | 97.18\% | 55 | 87.27\% | 45 | 95.56\% | 50 | 98\% | 50 | 98\% |
| Pasadena City College | 110 | 90\% | 121 | 86.78\% | 110 | 95.45\% | 130 | 95.38\% | 141 | 95.74\% |
| Point Loma Nazarene College | 41 | 100\% | 47 | 93.62\% | 42 | 97.62\% | 74 | 100\% | 50 | 80\% |
| Porterville College | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 8 | 100\% | 17 | 76.47\% |
| Reedley College at Madera Comm. Coll Center | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 4 | 100\% | 5 | 100\% |
| Rio Hondo College | 99 | 92.93\% | 116 | 91.38\% | 97 | 92.78\% | 99 | 89.90\% | 85 | 94.12\% |
| Riverside City College | 206 | 89.81\% | 178 | 92.7\% | 195 | 91.79\% | 177 | 90.40\% | 171 | 97.66\% |
| Sacramento City College | 160 | 92.5\% | 85 | 92.94\% | 153 | 98.04\% | 97 | 98.97\% | 107 | 95.33\% |
| Saddleback College | 113 | 95.58\% | 107 | 99.07\% | 105 | 94.29\% | 124 | 93.55\% | 112 | 98.21\% |
| Samuel Merritt University - BSN | 290 | 87.93\% | 421 | 87.41\% | 346 | 87.86\% | 361 | 89.75\% | 352 | 85.23\% |
| Samuel Merritt University - MSN | 85 | 88.24\% | 94 | 92.55\% | 81 | 95.06\% | 82 | 92.68\% | 87 | 94.25\% |
| San Bernardino Valley College | 90 | 82.22\% | 101 | 82.18\% | 77 | 83.12\% | 84 | 82.14\% | 86 | 82.56\% |
| San Diego City College | 75 | 88\% | 56 | 89.29\% | 60 | 90.00\% | 62 | 96.77\% | 58 | 96.55\% |
| San Diego State University | 156 | 92.31\% | 222 | 92.34\% | 265 | 92.83\% | 198 | 88.38\% | 158 | 87.97\% |
| San Francisco State University - BSN | 114 | 85.09\% | 104 | 90.38\% | 123 | 78.86\% | 104 | 85.58\% | 119 | 87.39\% |
| San Francisco State University - MSN | 52 | 82.69\% | 43 | 93.02\% | 2 | 100.00\% | 19 | 100\% | 36 | 88.89\% |
| San Joaquin Delta College | 179 | 85.47\% | 153 | 88.89\% | 147 | 90.84\% | 163 | 91.41\% | 154 | 92.21\% |
| San Joaquin Valley College | 12 | 91.67\% | 41 | 78.05\% | 76 | 53.95\% | 68 | 60.29\% | 77 | 67.53\% |
| Santa Ana College | 131 | 85.5\% | 111 | 95.5\% | 134 | 88.06\% | 102 | 92.16\% | 96 | 82.29\% |
| Santa Barbara City College | 47 | 93.62\% | 69 | 88.41\% | 52 | 86.54\% | 37 | 94.59\% | 56 | 91.07\% |
| Santa Monica College | 56 | 94.64\% | 78 | 97.44\% | 72 | 94.44\% | 55 | 96.36\% | 54 | 98.15\% |
| Santa Rosa Junior College | 125 | 94.4\% | 123 | 91.87\% | 95 | 92.63\% | 126 | 92.86\% | 90 | 88.89\% |


| School | 2008/2009 |  | 2009/2010 |  | 2010/2011 |  | 2011/2012 |  | 2012/2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. Taken | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Pass } \end{gathered}$ | No. Taken | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Pass } \end{gathered}$ | No. Taken | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Pass } \end{gathered}$ | No. Taken | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Pass } \end{gathered}$ | No. Taken | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Pass } \end{gathered}$ |
| Shepherd University | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 1 | 100.00\% | 55 | 72.73\% | 66 | 60.61\% |
| Simpson University | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 17 | 70.59\% |
| Solano Community College | 79 | 77.22\% | 56 | 85.71\% | 46 | 89.13\% | 54 | 98.15\% | 25 | 84\% |
| Sonoma State University - BSN | 32 | 96.88\% | 27 | 92.59\% | 34 | 94.12\% | 22 | 100\% | 43 | 97.67\% |
| Sonoma State University - MSN | N/A | N/A | 17 | 100\% | N/A | N/A | 21 | 100\% | N/A | N/A |
| Southwestern College | 70 | 91.43\% | 68 | 73.53\% | 73 | 72.60\% | 61 | 80.33\% | 61 | 80.33\% |
| The Valley Foundation School of Nursing at San Jose State University | 176 | 77.84\% | 147 | 87.07\% | 147 | 91.84\% | 156 | 94.23\% | 132 | 93.94\% |
| United States University | N/A | N/A | 8 | 62.5\% | 28 | 71.43\% | 58 | 68.97\% | 76 | 56.58\% |
| Unitek College | 55 | 83.64\% | 83 | 65.06\% | 82 | 75.61\% | 81 | 81.48\% | 71 | 91.55\% |
| UC Irvine | N/A | N/A | 37 | 83.78\% | 41 | 87.80\% | 46 | 78.26\% | 44 | 88.64\% |
| UC Los Angeles - BSN | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 49 | 89.80\% | 46 | 91.30\% | 56 | 92.86\% |
| UC Los Angeles - MSN | 51 | 90.2\% | 54 | 92.59\% | 61 | 90.16\% | 45 | 88.89\% | 61 | 93.44\% |
| UC San Francisco | 73 | 93.15\% | 77 | 93.51\% | 84 | 91.67\% | 87 | 95.40\% | 65 | 90.77\% |
| University of Phoenix at Modesto | N/A | N/A | 5 | 100\% | 41 | 73.17\% | 41 | 75.61\% | 51 | 80.39\% |
| University of San Diego/Hahn | 26 | 92.31\% | 41 | 100\% | 54 | 88.89\% | 43 | 95.35\% | 32 | 84.38\% |
| University of San Francisco - BSN | 132 | 90.91\% | 128 | 91.41\% | 156 | 87.82\% | 154 | 83.12\% | 140 | 85\% |
| University of San Francisco - MSN | 82 | 98.78\% | 55 | 90.91\% | 55 | 81.82\% | 61 | 86.89\% | 61 | 96.72\% |
| Ventura College | 92 | 92.39\% | 108 | 92.59\% | 82 | 96.34\% | 81 | 96.30\% | 61 | 95.08\% |
| Victor Valley College | 95 | 88.42\% | 107 | 92.52\% | 108 | 89.81\% | 54 | 90.74\% | 73 | 94.52\% |
| West Coast University Inland Empire - ADN | N/A | N/A | 29 | 72.41\% | 108 | 84.26\% | 65 | 61.53\% | 4 | 75\% |
| West Coast University Inland Empire - BSN | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 13 | 61.54\% | 82 | 71.95\% | 249 | 73.9\% |
| West Coast University Los Angeles - ADN | 282 | 86.88\% | 292 | 87.33\% | 228 | 83.33\% | 75 | 76\% | 4 | 50\% |
| West Coast University Los Angeles - BSN | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 65 | 86.15\% | 283 | 78.45\% |
| West Coast University Orange County - ADN | 46 | 93.48\% | 287 | 85.71\% | 155 | 75.48\% | 59 | 79.66\% | 6 | 66.67\% |
| West Coast University Orange County - BSN | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 177 | 87.01\% | 232 | 77.16\% |
| Western Governors University | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 15 | 100\% | 27 | 81.48\% |
| Western University of Health Sciences | 46 | 86.96\% | 46 | 84.78\% | 55 | 87.27\% | 53 | 94.34\% | 50 | 100\% |
| West Hills College Lemoore | N/A | N/A | 2 | 100\% | 49 | 87.76\% | 34 | 91.18\% | 36 | 100\% |
| Yuba College | 50 | 86\% | 40 | 92.5\% | 68 | 97.06\% | 32 | 90.63 | 46 | 91.3\% |

Table 7: 67 Percent Limit on Part-time Faculty in California Community Colleges
13 a. $67 \%$ rule how many faculty hired under this subdivision
13 b . What was the ratio of full-time to part-time faculty for this academic year

| District/College | 2011-12 |  | 2010-11 |  | 2009-10 |  | 2008-09 |  | 2007-08 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 13 a . | 13 b . | 13 a . | 13 b. | 13 a . | 13 b. | 13 a . | 13 b. | 13 a . | 13 b. |
| Antelope/Antelope Valley | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 15:20 |
| Butte-Glenn/Butte | 1 | 13:06 | 2 | 8:11 | - | - | 2 | 12:04 | 1 | 12:04 |
| Coast/Golden West | 0 | 2:01 | - | - | 6 | 75:25 | 6 | 3:01 | - | - |
| Contra Costa/Contra Costa | 3 | 6:04 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 10:07 | - | - |
| Contra Costa/Los Medanos | 3 | 6:40 | 2 | 6:02 | 1 | 8:17 | - | - | 1 | 6:5 |
| Copper Mountain/ Copper Mountain | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 4:06 |
| Desert/College of the Desert | 0 | 8:15 | 7 | 7:03 | 2 | 11:17 | 9 | 11:17 | 7 | 11:23 |
| El Camino/El Camino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 12:24 |
| Grossmont-Cuyamaca/Grossmont | 0 | 10:17 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 41:59 |
| Imperial/Imperial Valley | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 8:15 |
| Los Angeles/LA Trade Tech | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 11:02 | - | - |
| Los Angeles/Los Angeles Pierce | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 8:07 | 1 | 8:07 |
| Los Angeles/Los Angeles Valley | 0 | 10:23 | 0 | 9:23 | 1 | no reply | 2 | 1:02 | - | - |
| Marin/College of Marin | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 6:10 | 2 | 7:12 |
| Merced/Merced | 0 | 8:10 | 0 | 8:10 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mira Costa/Mira Costa | 2 | 2:2.5 | 2 | 3:02 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Monterey Peninsula College | - | - | . 5 | 7:04 | 0 | N/A | 0 | 9:05 | N/A | N/A |
| Mt. San Antonio/Mt. San Antonio | 15 | 1:02 | 5 | 1:03 | - | - | 19 | 7:01 | 10 | 3:10 |
| Mt. San Jacinto/Mt. San Jacinto | 4 | 2:02 | 2 | 3:02 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Napa/Napa | - | - | 2 | 6:8 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| North Orange/Cypres College | 4 | 14:04 | 4 | 14:04 | 0 | 0:00 | - | - | - | - |
| Pasadena/Pasadena | 2 | 20:02 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Peralta/Merritt | 0 | 7:0 | 1 | 7:01 | 4 | 7:4 | - | - | - | - |
| Redwoods/College of the Redwoods | - | - | 0 | 55:45 | 10 | 60:40 | 10 | 60:40 | - | - |
| Rio Hondo/Rio Hondo | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13:13 | 0 | 0 | - | - | 9 |  |
| San Luis Obispo/Cuesta College | - | - | - | - | 3 | 6:9 | 4 | 77\% | - | - |
| San Mateo Co./College of San Mateo | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 5:10 | - | - |
| Santa Barbara/Santa Barbara | 2 | 10:08 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 12:09 | - | - |
| Santa Clarita/College of the Canyons | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 12:30 |
| South Orange County/Saddleback | 1 | 18:02 | 3 | 16:06 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Southwestern/Southwestern | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 0.714 |
| Ventura/Ventura | - | - | - | - | 2 | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Victor Valley/Victor Valley | 2 | 1:10 | 3 | 1:10 | 1 | 1:04 | 1 | 1:4 | - | - |
| West Hills/West Hills Lemoore | 0 | 0 | 1:4 | 1:4 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| West Valley/Mission | 1 | 3:01 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Yuba/Yuba | 2 | 1:02 | 2 | 4:5 | - | - | - | - |  | 13:03 |
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