



Nursing Students at Los Angeles Southwest College

NURSING EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

2016

California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office
Erik E. Skinner, Interim Chancellor

CONNECT WITH US

CaliforniaCommunityColleges.cccco.edu

scorecard.cccco.edu

salarysurfer.cccco.edu

adegreewithaguarantee.com

stepforward.cccco.edu

doingwhatmatters.cccco.edu

icanaffordcollege.com



facebook.com/CACommColleges
facebook.com/icanaffordcollege



twitter.com/CalCommColleges
twitter.com/WorkforceVan
twitter.com/ICANAFRDCOLLEGE



youtube.com/CACommunityColleges
youtube.com/user/ICANAFFORDCOLLEGE



instagram.com/CaliforniaCommunityColleges

**CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY COLLEGES
CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE**

1102 Q STREET
SACRAMENTO, CA 95811
(916) 322-4005
<http://www.cccco.edu>



June 1, 2016

The Honorable Edmund G. Brown, Jr.
Governor of California
State Capitol
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Report on California Community College Nursing Programs for 2014-15

Dear Governor Brown:

I would like to present to you the Chancellor's Office report on California community college nursing programs for the 2014-15 academic year.

Seventy-seven California community colleges offer nursing programs, with a total enrollment of 13,823 full-time equivalent students in 2014-15.

This report provides information on funding for nursing programs, admission criteria, issues regarding faculty, and statistics on student attrition and license exam passage rates, among other data. I hope that you will find it to be a useful summary of the state of California's community college nursing programs.

If you or your staff have any questions about this report, please feel free to contact my office at (916) 322-4005.

Thank you for your interest in these programs and the students they serve.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Erik E. Skinner", followed by a long horizontal flourish.

Erik E. Skinner
Interim Chancellor

NURSING EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The California Community Colleges serves more than 2.1 million students each year and is the largest system of higher education in the nation. The state's 113 community colleges are charged with providing workforce training, basic skills education and preparing students to transfer to four-year institutions.

Seventy-seven California community colleges operate registered nursing programs, enrolling a statewide total of 13,823 full-time equivalent students (FTES) in 2014-15.

Of the 77 colleges with nursing programs, 71 were awarded the state funds to expand the enrollment capacity of nursing programs and to implement assessment, remediation and retention strategies to decrease attrition rates. In 2014-15, California community college nursing programs added capacity by enrolling 1,359 additional students. The overall attrition rate was 17.1 percent.

Colleges with nursing programs receive funding through general apportionment/FTES. This report presents information on categorical funding awarded through an application process to the community colleges for nursing programs in fiscal year 2014-15.

Also included in this report is a summary on Nursing Program Support provided in the Budget Act of 2014 to expand community college nursing enrollments, and to improve student retention (required by Provision (23) of Item 6870-101-0001 of the Budget Act of 2014).

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Education Code Section 78261, subdivision (g) states the following:

‘As a condition of receiving grant funds pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (d), each recipient district shall report to the Chancellor’s Office the following

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	5
Reporting Requirements	5
Overview of Funding	6
Key Findings.....	6
Tables.....	8

data for the academic year on or before a date determined by the Chancellor’s Office:

1. The number of students enrolled in the nursing program.
2. The number of students taking diagnostic assessments.
3. The number of students failing to meet proficiency levels as determined by diagnostic assessment tools.
4. The number of students failing to meet proficiency levels that undertake pre-entry preparation classes.
5. The number of students who successfully complete pre-entry preparation coursework.
6. The average number of months between initial diagnostic assessment, demonstration of readiness and enrollment in the nursing program for students failing to meet proficiency standards on the initial diagnostic assessment.
7. The average number of months between diagnostic assessment and program enrollment for students meeting proficiency standards on the initial diagnostic assessment.
8. The number of students who completed the associate degree nursing program and the number of students who pass the National Council Licensure Examination.

Further reporting requirements are outlined in subdivision (h) of Education Code Section 78261:

1. Data reported to the Chancellor’s Office under this article shall be disaggregated by age, gender, ethnicity and language spoken at home.

2. The Chancellor's Office shall compile and provide this information to the Legislature and the governor by March 1 of each year.

OVERVIEW OF FUNDING

Nursing

The overview of funding is detailed on tables beginning on page 8. A list of the colleges that received funding for fiscal year 2014-15, the amount received and the number of full-time equivalent students served in the 2010-11, 2011-12, 2012-13, 2013-14 and 2014-15 academic years is provided in Table 1. In 2014-15, the Budget Act provided approximately \$13.4 million of Proposition 98 funds to be allocated as follows: \$8.5 million to further expand community college nursing slots and \$4.9 million to provide diagnostic and support services to reduce student attrition.

KEY FINDINGS

As in previous years the Budget Act of 2014 directed the Chancellor's Office to report on the data reported by the nursing grant awardees funded by the \$13.4 million. These funds were awarded to colleges who participated in an application process in the spring of 2014 for a one year enrollment expansion and/or assessment, remediation and retention grant, which began July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2015. Below is summary of information that addresses the specific areas (numbered) required by the Budget Act of 2014.

1. Amount of Funding Received

Funds were awarded based on an application process that was conducted in the spring of 2014 for projects to be funded during fiscal year 2014-15. The Chancellor's Office awarded funds to all colleges that applied, but not all colleges were allocated the funding they requested. The following is a description of the grants that were awarded.

Enrollment Growth for Nursing: Seventy-one colleges received grants to expand enrollment by 1,359 students. The colleges are using these funds to provide support for nursing program enrollment and equipment needs. The equipment purchased is allowed only for the purposes for increasing the number of nursing students served.

Assessment, Remediation and Retention Funds: During 2014-15, all 71 colleges that requested enroll-

ment growth funds received some funds for diagnostic and support services, pre-entry coursework and other services to reduce attrition. Table 1 provides a list of the colleges receiving funds specifically for reducing attrition. The respective attrition rates are as reported by the community colleges to the Board of Registered Nursing for the 2014-15 Annual School Report. This item is also discussed in Table 3.

2. Number of Nursing FTES Grants Awarded

Colleges receiving nursing grants for enrollment growth had 13,823 nursing full-time equivalent students in 2014-15. Grants were awarded based on the additional capacity achieved by the 1,359 additional enrollments in fiscal year 2014-15.

3. College Attrition and Completion Rates

The Chancellor's Office used data reported by the colleges to the Board of Registered Nursing to determine the attrition rate for each college program. Data was collected on students who were scheduled to complete the program between Aug. 1, 2014 and July 31, 2015. These students have benefited from the specific retention activities that were funded by the nursing program grant funds. While some colleges have improved their attrition rates with these grants, some colleges continue to have high attrition rates. We are recommending that those colleges with greater than 15 percent attrition apply for assessment, remediation and retention funds for the following year. The attrition data is presented in Table 3. Attrition rates were calculated by using the following data reported by the colleges:

- Total number of students scheduled to complete the program between Aug. 1, 2014 and July 31, 2015.
- The number of students who dropped out of the program or were disqualified are subtracted from this number.
- The number of students who completed the program on time, or who are still enrolled in the program.

4. Equipment/Infrastructure Purchases

In fiscal year 2014-15, colleges reported expenditures of approximately \$834,405 for capital outlay (equipment and related costs).

5. Data Required by SB 1309, Statutes of 2006

Senate Bill 1309 (Stats. 2006, Chap. 837) requires the Chancellor's Office to collect and report data from colleges receiving grants on the results of assessment testing for students as a condition of enrollment. Colleges were required to provide remediation to those students who did not achieve a statewide passing score of 62 percent or higher.

The Chancellor's Office works with assessment vendors and colleges to collect the data required in Education Code Section 78261, subdivision (g). The vendors provided information on exam results, gender, ethnicity and age for students. The colleges then provided information on remediation and enrollment. Table 2 provides the collated data that answers those questions that are not reported in other areas of this document.

In addition, this data reflects students who received assessment testing between January 2014 and June 2015.

In response to Education Code Section 78261 (g)(8), Table 4 lists the colleges, the number of students from the colleges that took the licensure exam, and the pass rate from 2010-11 through 2014-15.

6. Data Required by Assembly Bill 1559 Originally and Amended by Assembly Bill 548, Multi-criteria Screening Process

The bill was originally introduced by Assembly Bill 1559 (Berryhill) in 2007 and amended by Assembly Bill 548 (Salas) in 2014.

Assembly Bill 548 extended the sunset provision in Education Code Section 78261.5 until Jan. 1, 2020.

Assembly Bill 548 requires a community college registered nursing program that elects to use a multi-criteria screening process on or after Jan. 1, 2008, to evaluate applicants for admission to nursing programs to include specified criteria relating to the academic performance, work or volunteer experience, foreign language skills, life experiences and special circumstances of the applicant. The bill authorizes a community college registered nursing program using a multi-criteria screening process to use an approved diagnostic assessment tool before, during or after the multi-criteria screening process.

Section 78261.5 was added to the Education Code, to read: A community college registered nursing program that determines that the number of applicants to that program exceeds its capacity may admit students in accordance with any of the following procedures:

1. A random selection process.
2. A blended combination of random selection and a multi-criteria screening process.
3. A multi-criteria screening process.

When using the multi-criteria screening process, the following criteria shall be included, but not necessarily be limited to, all of the following:

1. Academic degrees or diplomas, or relevant certificates, held by an applicant.
2. Grade-point average in relevant course work.
3. Any relevant work or volunteer experience.
4. Life experiences or special circumstances of an applicant, including, but not necessarily limited to, the following experiences or circumstances:
 - a. Disabilities
 - b. Low family income
 - c. First generation of family to attend college
 - d. Need to work
 - e. Disadvantaged social or educational environment
 - f. Difficult personal and family situations or circumstances
 - g. Refugee or veteran status
5. Proficiency or advanced level coursework in languages other than English. Credit for languages other than English shall be received for languages that are identified by the chancellor as high-frequency languages, as based on census data.

Additional criteria, such as a personal interview, personal statement, letter of recommendation or the number of repetitions of prerequisite classes, or other criteria, as approved by the chancellor, may be used but are not required. In response to Assembly Bill 548, Table 5 lists the participating colleges. Of the 77 California community colleges which have registered nursing programs, 34 colleges (44 percent of the total offering nursing programs) reported in the survey that they began using the multi-criteria process between 2008 and 2015. Since colleges are starting to use the multi-criteria process in the recent years, we do not have enough data to evaluate the effectiveness.

TABLES

Table 1

Funds Allocated for Enrollment Growth Grants Including FTES and Additional Enrollments

Table 2

Data for Colleges That Used Assessment Testing as Part of the Selection Process

Table 3

Community College Associate Degree Nursing (RN) 2014-15 Retention/Completion Data

Table 4

National Council Licensing Exam – Registered Nursing Community College Pass Rates

Table 5

Assembly Bill 548 Multi-Criteria Screening Process Survey Results

Table 1: Funds Allocated for Enrollment Growth Grants Including FTES and Additional Enrollments

College Enrollment Growth and Assessment/Remediation	2014-15 Allocation Total Includes Assessment	2010-11 FTES	2011-12 FTES	2012-13 FTES	2013-14 FTES	2014-15 FTES	2014-15 Additional Enrollments
Allan Hancock College	\$61,187	51	85	75	74	138	6
American River College	\$272,087	235	249	249	179	257	29
Antelope Valley College	\$129,587	325	255	254	253	262	21
Bakersfield College	\$267,724	414	381	358	399	389	30
Butte College	\$203,687	274	294	231	204	226	36
Cabrillo College	\$217,937	170	199	190	182	181	20
Cerritos College**	\$171,000	244	366	303	279	272	0
Chabot**	\$205,200	161	172	143	141	113	0
Chaffey College	\$217,937	139	122	192	194	190	20
Citrus College	\$123,887	107	74	53	53	50	10
College of Marin	\$129,587	126	119	110	90	90	10
College of San Mateo	\$163,787	138	160	150	154	150	28
College of the Canyons	\$123,887	326	326	326	354	354	20
College of the Desert	\$171,000	328	193	162	148	154	41
College of the Redwoods	\$152,387	121	127	135	129	107	15
College of the Siskiyous	\$55,487	75	60	41	36	51	21

Table 1: Funds Allocated for Enrollment Growth Grants Including FTES and Additional Enrollments

College Enrollment Growth and Assessment/Remediation	2014-15 Allocation Total Includes Assessment	2010-11 FTES	2011-12 FTES	2012-13 FTES	2013-14 FTES	2014-15 FTES	2014-15 Additional Enrollments
El Camino College **(Compton Education Center)	\$114,000	178	103	115	81	119	0
Contra Costa College	\$186,587	90	106	176	187	180	16
Copper Mountain College	\$114,000	154	72	88	59	68	24
Cypress College	\$237,887	256	263	250	222	244	39
Cuesta College	\$129,587	142	152	143	142	145	10
El Camino College**	\$171,000	197	99	143	86	119	0
Evergreen Valley College	\$183,737	184	192	179	165	170	10
Fresno City College	\$408,887	864	645	862	772	755	40
Gavilan College	\$78,287	41	51	109	107	111	10
Glendale College	\$209,387	312	247	234	225	243	20
Golden West College	\$183,737	301	328	284	269	280	15
Grossmont College	\$260,687	211	226	309	320	248	20
Hartnell College	\$186,587	138	130	87	103	109	9
Imperial Valley	\$78,287	237	126	144	144	132	10
Long Beach**	\$131,100	344	159	174	219	256	0
Los Angeles City**	\$85,500	423	539	617	691	407	0
Los Angeles East LA	\$135,287	246	340	303	319	309	14
Los Angeles Harbor College	\$171,000	297	268	254	264	260	10
Los Angeles Pierce College	\$209,387	171	255	386	346	314	24
Los Angeles Southwest College	\$175,187	224	132	104	122	105	20
Los Angeles Trade Tech College	\$278,687	153	144	126	115	126	20
Los Angeles Valley College	\$279,787	290	290	258	254	241	28
Los Medanos College	\$146,687	126	122	119	113	120	20
Mendocino College	\$112,487	76	68	57	60	56	24
Merced College	\$237,887	182	187	180	170	163	39
Merritt College	\$101,087	148	119	100	158	173	20
MiraCosta College	\$306,287	90	48	46	46	42	40
Mission College	\$78,287	79	36	36	32	23	20
Modesto Jr. College	\$243,587	337	365	321	280	282	30
Monterey Peninsula College	\$192,287	135	123	100	114	112	11
Moorpark College	\$255,887	87	80	69	68	62	24
Mt. San Antonio College	\$229,337	425	302	295	316	301	48
Mt. San Jacinto College	\$146,687	164	129	125	132	120	10

Table 1: Funds Allocated for Enrollment Growth Grants Including FTES and Additional Enrollments

College Enrollment Growth and Assessment/Remediation	2014-15 Allocation Total Includes Assessment	2010-11 FTES	2011-12 FTES	2012-13 FTES	2013-14 FTES	2014-15 FTES	2014-15 Additional Enrollments
Palomar College	\$230,237	223	186	232	241	255	14
Pasadena	\$112,637	120	120	257	306	287	20
Rio Hondo College	\$175,187	241	222	223	215	184	20
Riverside College	\$406,187	520	487	484	540	518	40
Sacramento City	\$152,387	118	124	113	131	96	11
Saddleback College	\$346,187	298	389	378	369	376	32
San Bernardino	\$126,737	244	182	197	163	189	15
San Diego	\$158,087	156	151	166	151	165	10
San Francisco	\$152,387	100	100	100	200	208	10
San Joaquin Delta	\$101,087	375	379	332	251	239	19
Santa Ana College	\$192,287	285	301	292	307	266	10
Santa Barbara City College	\$192,287	128	117	117	130	138	20
Santa Monica College	\$295,787	222	205	202	199	182	20
Santa Rosa Junior College	\$331,937	325	220	220	198	352	60
Shasta College	\$158,087	195	151	167	172	165	20
Sierra College	\$95,387	115	190	110	114	110	9
Solano Community College	\$209,387	245	190	172	198	202	12
Southwestern College	\$146,687	171	174	149	176	174	10
Ventura College	\$214,830	No Data					12
Victor Valley College	\$254,987	11	21	18	15	7	20
West Hills - Lemoore College	\$192,287	134	108	97	101	101	18
Yuba College	\$260,687	163	206	257	230	230	25
Totals	\$13,231,224	14,925	13,851	14,048	13,977	13,823	1,359

\$13,231,224. Total includes diagnostic and support services.

** Colleges only served Assessment, Remediation and Retention students.

Total FTES — Numbers reflect updated FTES figures

Table 2: Data for Colleges That Used Assessment Testing as Part of the Selection Process

Total Number of Students Assessed: 7,731
Total Number of Students Who Passed Test: 6,673
Percent of Total: 86%

Gender	Grand Total	Passed	Percent of Total	Not Passed	Percent of Total
Female	6060	5154	85%	906	15%
Male	1438	1323	92%	115	8%
Not listed	233	196	84%	37	16%
Grand Total	7731	6673	86%	1058	14%

Table 2: Data for Colleges That Used Assessment Testing as Part of the Selection Process

Age	Grand Total	Passed	Percent of Total	Not Passed	Percent of Total
<20	4	4	100%		0%
20-24	227	225	99%	2	1%
25-29	210	207	99%	3	1%
30-34	152	147	97%	5	3%
35-39	85	78	92%	7	8%
40-49	74	68	92%	6	8%
>49	24	22	92%	2	8%
No Record	6955	5922	85%	1033	15%
Grand Total	7731	6673	86%	1058	14%
Language Spoken at Home	Grand Total	Passed	Percent of Total	Not Passed	Percent of Total
Arabic	2	2	100%		0%
Chinese	17	17	100%		0%
English	6527	5713	88%	814	12%
Farsi	10	10	100%		0%
No Response	718	564	79%	154	21%
Other	105	88	84%	17	16%
Russian	13	13	100%		0%
Spanish	309	238	77%	71	23%
Tagalog	30	28	93%	2	7%
Grand Total	7731	6673	86%	1058	14%
Disability Accommodation	Total	Passed	Percent of Total	Not Passed	Percent of Total
No	2600	2266	87%	334	13%
No Response	4774	4122	86%	652	14%
Yes	357	285	80%	72	20%
Grand Total	7731	6673	86%	1058	14%
Ethnicity	Grand Total	Passed	Percent of Total	Not Passed	Percent of Total
African-American	380	282	74%	98	26%
American Indian/Alaskan Native	45	37	82%	8	18%
Asian	1379	1188	86%	191	14%
Black Non-Hispanic	1		0%	1	100%
Filipino	115	103	90%	12	10%
Hispanic	2112	1719	81%	393	19%
Other Non-White	82	74	90%	8	10%
Pacific Islander	11	11	100%		0%
Unknown/Non-Respondent	966	808	84%	158	16%
White Non-Hispanic	2640	2451	93%	189	7%
Grand Total	7731	6673	86%	1058	14%

Table 2: Data for Colleges That Used Assessment Testing as Part of the Selection Process

Status of Successful Students	Spring 2014	Fall 2015	Spring 2015
0:Tested but not applied at this site	6	1045	347
1:Applied but not selected	157	979	440
2:Selected but need to remediate	10	26	23
3:Selected but not enrolled (on waitlist)	154	916	692
4:Selected but not enrolled (choosing not to enroll)	3	194	68
5:Initial enrollment	6	774	42
6:Continuing enrollment	1	82	7
7:Graduation	0	1	0
8:Dropped for academic reasons (not eligible for return)	1	2	2
9:Dropped for academic reasons (eligible for return)	0	26	5
10:Dropped for other reasons	1	16	3
12: Transfer in (initial enrollment)	0	1	0
X:No information available at this date*	4773	2452	4474
N/A	1561	159	570
Grand Total	6673	6673	6673

Status of Unsuccessful Students	Spring 2014	Fall 2015	Spring 2015
0:Tested but not applied at this site	2	110	29
1:Applied but not selected	30	127	76
2:Selected but need to remediate	20	132	74
3:Selected but not enrolled (on waitlist)	59	50	76
4:Selected but not enrolled (choosing not to enroll)	1	7	3
5:Initial enrollment	0	27	4
6:Continuing enrollment	0	3	0
8:Dropped for academic reasons (not eligible for return)	0	3	4
9:Dropped for academic reasons (eligible for return)	0	2	2
10:Dropped for other reasons	1	4	0
X:No information available at this date*	629	558	693
N/A	316	35	97
Grand Total	1058	1058	1058

Remediation, Participation and Completion	Number
Total Student	7731
Remediation Required	697
Remediation Participation	309
Remediation Completion	198

Table 3: Community College Associate Degree Nursing (RN) 2014-15 Retention/Completion Data*

College	Program	Scheduled to Complete	Completed on Time	Dropped Out	Dismissed	Still Enrolled	Attrition Rate
Allan Hancock College	LVN	35	32	1	2	0	8.6%
American River College	GADN	68	34	15	7	12	32.4%
Antelope Valley College	GADN	87	67	11	0	9	12.6%
Bakersfield College	GADN	109	91	8	6	4	12.8%
Butte Community College	GADN	60	57	2	1	0	5.0%
Cabrillo Community College	GADN	60	55	4	7	0	18.3%
Cerritos College	GADN	91	55	15	13	8	30.8%
Chabot College	GADN	50	32	8	10	0	36.0%
Chaffey College	GADN	77	60	5	4	8	11.7%
Citrus College	GADN	20	18	1	1	0	10.0%
City College of San Francisco	GADN	93	75	5	12	1	18.3%
College of Marin	GADN	45	43	2	0	0	4.4%
College of San Mateo	GADN	45	28	2	9	6	24.4%
College of the Canyons	GADN	120	105	3	6	5	7.5%
College of the Desert	GADN	60	45	7	5	3	20.0%
College of the Redwoods	GADN	45	38	2	5	0	15.6%
College of the Sequoias	GADN	77	71	1	2	3	3.9%
College of the Siskiyous	LVN	30	29	0	1	0	3.3%
Contra Costa College	GADN	40	29	1	10	0	27.5%
Copper Mountain College	GADN	24	23	1	0	0	4.2%
Cuesta College	GADN	43	35	6	2	0	18.6%
Cypress College	GADN	90	73	11	0	6	12.2%
De Anza College	GADN	62	42	10	6	4	25.8%
East Los Angeles College	GADN	81	75	4	2	0	7.4%
El Camino College	GADN	88	64	6	8	10	15.9%
El Camino College - Compton Education Center	GADN	71	41	15	10	5	35.2%
Evergreen Valley College	GADN	76	48	15	10	3	32.9%
Fresno City College	GADN	250	230	9	7	4	6.4%
Gavilan College	LVN	22	19	2	1	0	13.6%
Glendale Community College	GADN	71	63	0	5	3	7.0%
Golden West College	GADN	95	73	22	0	0	23.2%
Grossmont College	GADN	79	61	8	8	2	20.3%
Hartnell College	GADN	34	25	4	1	4	14.7%
Imperial Valley College	GADN	43	35	4	3	1	16.3%
L.A. City College	GADN	78	68	0	8	2	10.3%
L.A. Harbor College	GADN	78	40	8	17	13	32.1%
L.A. Pierce College	GADN	57	53	1	2	1	5.3%
L.A. Southwest College	GADN	50	36	5	3	6	16.0%
L.A. Trade-Tech College	GADN	49	21	21	0	7	42.9%

*Source: 2014-2015 California Board of Registered Nursing Annual School Survey

Table 3: Community College Associate Degree Nursing (RN) 2014-15 Retention/Completion Data*

College	Program	Scheduled to Complete	Completed on Time	Dropped Out	Dismissed	Still Enrolled	Attrition Rate
L.A. Valley College	GADN	88	61	21	0	6	23.9%
Long Beach City College	GADN	78	54	2	11	11	16.7%
Los Medanos College	GADN	32	24	3	5	0	25.0%
Mendocino College	GADN	18	13	2	3	0	27.8%
Merced College	GADN	60	39	18	2	1	33.3%
Merritt College	GADN	42	35	3	2	2	11.9%
Mira Costa College	GADN	40	38	0	2	0	5.0%
Mission College	LVN	30	27	2	1	0	10.0%
Modesto Junior College	GADN	101	76	2	15	8	16.8%
Monterey Peninsula College	GADN	32	24	4	4	0	25.0%
Moorpark College	GADN	80	68	0	12	0	15.0%
Mount San Antonio College	GADN	116	81	8	17	10	21.6%
Mount San Jacinto College	GADN	65	39	11	8	7	29.2%
Napa Valley College	GADN	40	30	3	3	4	15.0%
Ohlone College	GADN	39	27	0	8	4	20.5%
Palomar College	GADN	63	35	7	10	11	27.0%
Pasadena City College	GADN	118	93	17	4	4	17.8%
Porterville College	GADN	19	11	4	4	0	42.1%
Reedley College	LVN	7	5	0	2	0	28.6%
Rio Hondo College	GADN	81	63	8	2	8	12.3%
Riverside City College	GADN	152	133	10	0	9	6.6%
Sacramento City College	GADN	64	54	3	5	2	12.5%
Saddleback College	GADN	117	86	31	0	0	26.5%
San Bernardino Valley College	GADN	70	59	2	0	9	2.9%
San Diego City College	GADN	60	48	3	8	1	18.3%
San Joaquin Delta College	GADN	81	74	3	4	0	8.6%
San Joaquin Valley College	GADN	35	22	6	6	1	34.3%
Santa Ana College	GADN	85	55	9	9	12	21.2%
Santa Barbara City College	GADN	74	58	16	0	0	21.6%
Santa Monica College	GADN	71	46	12		13	16.9%
Santa Rosa Junior College	GADN	120	109	5	4	2	7.5%
Shasta College	GADN	58	47	8	0	3	13.8%
Sierra College	GADN	40	34	3	3	0	15.0%
Solano Community College	GADN	47	37	4	6	0	21.3%
Southwestern College	GADN	60	48	9	0	3	15.0%
Ventura College	GADN	99	64	25	0	10	25.3%
Victor Valley College	GADN	71	47	6	7	11	18.3%
West Hills College Lemoore	GADN	25	21	0	3	1	12.0%
Yuba College	GADN	60	50	3	4	3	11.7%
Overall		5191	4024	518	368	286	17.1%

Table 4: National Council Licensing Exam – Registered Nursing Community College Pass Rates

College	2010/2011		2011/2012		2012/2013		2013/2014		2014/2015	
	# Taken	% Pass	# Taken	% Pass	# Taken	% Pass	# Taken	% Pass	# Taken	% Pass
Allan Hancock College	33	84.85%	37	86.49%	35	82.86%	34	79.41%	36	80.56%
American River College	95	90.53%	80	95%	55	94.55%	49	85.71%	67	94.03%
Antelope Valley College	140	85.71%	105	90.48%	112	91.96%	100	81%	99	82.83%
Bakersfield College	126	88.10%	145	93.10%	99	97.98%	93	91.40%	125	91.20%
Butte College	111	82.88%	99	87.88%	122	86.07%	59	83.05%	83	81.93%
Cabrillo College	65	80.00%	53	77.36%	58	86.21%	56	75%	49	69.39%
Cerritos College	97	94.85%	99	85.86%	90	87.78%	83	83.13%	70	87.14%
Chabot College	39	100.00%	55	98.18%	27	96.30%	45	97.78%	38	100%
Chaffey College	43	100.00%	50	98%	60	98.33%	37	86.49%	65	84.62%
Citrus College	40	95.00%	30	80%	29	100%	30	86.67%	28	89.29%
City College of San Francisco	97	85.57%	80	92.50%	71	76.05%	78	75.64%	100	88%
College of Marin	56	89.29%	47	91.49%	15	93.33%	61	95.08%	38	97.37%
College of San Mateo	62	82.26%	52	82.69%	33	87.88%	65	80%	50	84%
College of the Canyons	123	82.93%	109	84.40%	135	88.15%	104	80.77%	121	85.12%
College of the Desert	88	85.23%	107	90.65%	66	81.82%	51	74.51%	53	90.57%
College of the Redwoods	44	88.64%	45	84.44%	46	82.61%	38	84.21%	48	83.33%
College of the Sequoias	142	90.14%	129	79.07%	124	88.71%	109	76.15%	96	89.58%
College of the Siskiyous	22	77.27%	22	100%	26	88.46%	12	91.67%	42	97.62%
Contra Costa College	49	93.88%	61	91.80%	46	93.48%	20	85%	33	75.76%
Copper Mountain College	35	80.00%	21	90.48%	27	81.48%	22	86.36%	22	81.82%
Cuesta College	45	93.33%	44	95.45%	44	97.73%	30	93.33%	30	100%
Cypress College	73	94.52%	83	93.98%	84	85.71%	68	91.18%	66	86.36%
De Anza College	75	76.00%	60	90%	59	88.14%	52	69.23%	55	90.91%
East Los Angeles College	84	69.05%	124	82.26%	114	62.28%	91	49.45%	120	61.67%
El Camino College	69	94.20%	46	97.83%	59	96.61%	83	95.18%	71	88.73%
El Camino College - Compton Education Center	44	81.82%	59	84.75%	54	81.48%	60	73.33%	54	59.26%
Evergreen Valley College	69	79.71%	72	83.33%	65	90.77%	54	81.48%	53	94.34%
Fresno City College	323	81.11%	230	82.61%	341	78.01%	354	65.82%	367	67.30%
Gavilan College	17	100.00%	13	92.31%	15	100%	19	89.47%	26	69.23%
Glendale Community College	98	91.84%	84	94.05%	79	89.87%	69	89.86%	72	90.28%
Golden West College	113	88.50%	134	92.54%	101	92.08%	85	87.06%	85	90.59%
Grossmont College	103	92.23%	59	96.61%	71	95.77%	64	98.44%	61	95.08%
Hartnell College	52	94.23%	34	94.12%	32	100%	30	96.67%	25	92%
Imperial Valley College	66	80.30%	40	95%	34	100%	43	93.02%	44	88.64%
Long Beach City College	127	92.91%	114	96.49%	120	91.67%	91	92.31%	83	90.36%
LA City College	44	95.45%	43	97.67%	46	97.83%	60	86.67%	72	80.56%
LA Harbor College	104	98.08%	102	97.06%	57	98.25%	59	100%	64	96.88%
LA Pierce College	54	83.33%	56	91.07%	48	95.83%	49	89.80%	40	85%
LA Pierce College	48	97.92%	54	83.33%	56	91.07%	48	95.83%	49	89.80%

Table 4: National Council Licensing Exam – Registered Nursing Community College Pass Rates

College	2010/2011		2011/2012		2012/2013		2013/2014		2014/2015	
	# Taken	% Pass	# Taken	% Pass	# Taken	% Pass	# Taken	% Pass	# Taken	% Pass
LA Southwest College	42	83.33%	60	73.33%	34	79.41%	39	76.92%	30	70%
LA Trade-Tech College	30	90.00%	38	97.37%	43	86.05%	38	65.79%	25	76%
LA Valley College	86	91.86%	73	95.89%	74	90.54%	63	92.06%	80	95%
Los Medanos College	46	89.13%	46	86.96%	12	75%	41	90.24%	33	81.82%
Mendocino College	28	96.43%	20	95%	19	94.74%	19	100%	15	100%
Merced College	49	85.71%	51	84.31%	49	91.84%	43	86.05%	55	87.27%
Merritt College	18	100.00%	25	92%	38	100%	9	100%	15	100%
Mira Costa College	29	96.55%	42	92.86%	55	100%	43	97.67%	44	100%
Mission College	38	81.58%	40	82.50%	40	85%	37	64.86%	37	75.68%
Modesto Junior College	145	84.83%	108	89.81%	129	91.47%	111	90.09%	97	88.66%
Monterey Peninsula College	53	96.23%	21	100%	30	86.67%	23	95.65%	30	93.33%
Moorpark College	62	90.32%	47	100%	76	96.05%	66	87.88%	61	90.16%
Mt. San Antonio College	158	91.14%	135	91.11%	92	81.52%	93	82.80%	105	85.71%
Mt. San Jacinto College	79	84.81%	57	85.96%	57	96.49%	45	91.11%	43	95.35%
Napa Valley College	91	90.11%	93	88.17%	73	91.78%	36	80.56%	33	72.73%
Ohlone College	59	96.61%	34	94.12%	32	93.75%	36	97.22%	28	89.29%
Palomar College	45	95.56%	50	98%	50	98%	46	97.83%	33	87.88%
Pasadena City College	110	95.45%	130	95.38%	141	95.74%	108	85.19%	138	80.43%
Porterville College	N/A	N/A	8	100%	17	76.47%	16	81.25%	9	66.67%
Reedley College at Madera Community College Center	N/A	N/A	4	100%	5	100%	10	80%	5	80%
Rio Hondo College	97	92.78%	99	89.90%	85	94.12%	70	85.71%	77	90.91%
Riverside City College	195	91.79%	177	90.40%	171	97.66%	146	91.78%	160	91.88%
Sacramento City College	153	98.04%	97	98.97%	107	95.33%	104	97.12%	69	94.20%
Saddleback College	105	94.29%	124	93.55%	112	98.21%	90	97.78%	100	94%
San Bernardino Valley College	77	83.12%	84	82.14%	86	82.56%	71	74.65%	58	79.31%
San Diego City College	60	90.00%	62	96.77%	58	96.55%	52	92.31%	56	87.50%
San Joaquin Delta College	147	90.84%	163	91.41%	154	92.21%	92	85.87%	97	79.38%
Santa Ana College	134	88.06%	102	92.16%	96	82.29%	98	72.45%	88	84.09%
Santa Barbara City College	52	86.54%	37	94.59%	56	91.07%	41	97.56%	68	92.65%
Santa Monica College	72	94.44%	55	96.36%	54	98.15%	54	87.04%	54	100%
Santa Rosa Junior College	95	92.63%	126	92.86%	90	88.89%	115	88.70%	124	88.71%
Shasta College	65	90.77%	58	87.93%	52	92.31%	53	77.36%	53	84.91%
Sierra College	49	95.92%	30	100%	37	100%	26	92.31%	11	100%
Solano Community College	46	89.13%	54	98.15%	25	84%	29	96.55%	42	64.29%
Southwestern College	73	72.60%	61	80.33%	61	80.33%	53	79.25%	53	90.57%
Ventura College	82	96.34%	81	96.30%	61	95.08%	82	97.56%	88	89.77%
Victor Valley College	108	89.81%	54	90.74%	73	94.52%	99	93.94%	63	98.41%
West Hills College Lemoore	49	87.76%	34	91.18%	36	100%	27	85.19%	41	95.12%
Yuba College	68	97.06%	32	90.63%	46	91.30%	50	84%	56	82.14%

Table 5: Assembly Bill 548 Multi-Criteria Screening Process Survey Results

Schools were asked for the first time in 2008-09 if multi-criteria as defined in Assembly Bill 548 were used to identify qualified applicants for admission to their nursing programs.

Source: California Board of Registered Nursing Annual School Survey, 2008-09 to 2013-14

College	Schools That Used Assembly Bill 548 Criteria to Identify Qualified Applicants						
	2014-2015	2013-2014	2012-2013	2011-2012	2010-2011	2009-2010	2008-2009
American River College	1	1	1				
Antelope Valley College	1						
Bakersfield College				1	1	1	
Cabrillo Community College	1						
Cerritos College		1					
Chabot College	1	1	1				
Citrus College	1		1		1	1	
College of San Mateo	1						
College of the Canyons	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
College of the Desert		1					
College of the Sequoias	1	1					
Contra Costa College			1				
Cuesta College	1	1	1	1	1		1
Cypress College	1	1	1	1	1		
East Los Angeles College		1					1
El Camino College		1	1	1	1		
El Camino College - Compton Education Center		1					N/A
Gavilan College							1
Golden West College	1	1	1				
Grossmont College		1	1	1	1		
Imperial Valley College	1	1	1	1	1		
L.A. Southwest College	1	1	1		1		
L.A. Trade-Tech College							1
Merced College	1	1	1		1		
Mira Costa College	1	1	1	1	1		
Mission College	1				1		
Modesto Junior College	1						
Moorpark College	1	1	1	1	1		
Mount San Antonio College	1						
Mount San Jacinto College		1	1	1	1		
Palomar College	1			1	1		
Pasadena City College	1						
Porterville College		1					

Table 5: Assembly Bill 548 Multi-Criteria Screening Process Survey Results

College	Schools That Used Assembly Bill 548 Criteria to Identify Qualified Applicants						
	2014-2015	2013-2014	2012-2013	2011-2012	2010-2011	2009-2010	2008-2009
Reedley College	1	1					
Rio Hondo College	1	1	1	1	1		1
Riverside City College	1	1	1	1	1		1
Sacramento City College	1	1	1	1			
Saddleback College	1	1	1	1	1		
San Bernardino Valley College	1	1	1				1
San Diego City College	1	1	1	1	1		
San Joaquin Delta College	1	1	1	1	1		1
Santa Ana College	1	1	1				1
Santa Monica College	1	1					
Santa Rosa Junior College					1		
Southwestern College	1	1					1
Ventura College	1	1	1	1	1		1
West Hills College Lemoore	1		1				1
Yuba College				1			
TOTAL	34	31	27	19	22	3	13

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Interim Chancellor Erik E. Skinner would like to acknowledge and thank those individuals who have made significant contributions to this report.

California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office

Van Ton-Quinlivan

Vice Chancellor

Workforce and Economic Development

Dr. Debra Jones

Director

Workforce and Economic Development

Javier Romero

Dean

Workforce and Economic Development

Brenda Fong

Specialist

Workforce and Economic Development

Nita Patel

Associate Governmental Program Analyst

Workforce and Economic Development

Jean Claude Mbomeda

Program Assistant II

Workforce and Economic Development

Special Thanks

Office of Communications

Paul Feist

Vice Chancellor

Paige Marlatt Dorr

Director

Robert Ferguson

Web Developer

Carrie Tan

Public Information Officer

Courtney Chittock

Graphic Designer



California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office
1102 Q Street, Suite 4554
Sacramento, CA 95811

CaliforniaCommunityColleges.cccco.edu