

# MEETING SUMMARY AB 1111 COMMON COURSE NUMBERING TASK FORCE

Meeting held at: California Community Colleges (CCC)
Chancellor's Office
1102 Q Street, Sacramento, CA
Suite 3100 (3rd floor)
February 23, 2023, 10 am - 3:30 pm PST

The agenda and materials for this meeting are available at this website: https://www.cccco.edu/About-Us/Chancellors-Office/Divisions/Educational-Services-and-Support/common-course-numbering-project

1. Standing Orders of Business

### 1.1 - 1.3. Call to Order, Welcome, Introductions and Housekeeping

One of the Co-Chairs of the Common Course Numbering (CCN) Task Force (hereafter "CCN Task Force") called the meeting to order, welcomed the members and asked the members to introduce themselves. Attendance was taken via introductions. A representative from Sova (the facilitator of the CCN Task Force) reviewed housekeeping items.

2. Information and Reports

# 2.1. Centering in Common Course Numbering Task Force Charge and Guiding Principles, Reminder of Narrative Arc, and Agenda and Meeting Objectives Review

One of the Co-Chairs grounded the work of the day in the CCN Task Force's charge and guiding principles, provided a reminder of the arc of the work, and reviewed the meeting objectives and agenda. Sova provided a brief reminder about how the CCN Task Force's work is being documented and reflected back to the CCN Task Force members and the public, and the steps the CCN Task Force is taking to develop an implementation plan.

The Co-Chairs reminded the CCN Task Force about the importance of stakeholder engagement and the consultative process. Key points included:

• You are representatives of your constituency groups. It is your responsibility to disseminate information (e.g., Meeting Summaries), digest and share feedback.

- Please identify ways to proactively engage:
  - Make sure your association board/leadership are up to date;
  - Look at meeting agendas and prepare your constituency to share feedback;
  - Get on the agenda of your association meetings;
- Diverse opinions will be captured/documented; and
- Public website is up to date and has an email address for sending comments/questions.

As noted above the public website can be accessed at: https://www.cccco.edu/About-Us/Chancellors-Office/Divisions/Educational-Services-and-Support/common-course-numbering-project

3. Public Comment

#### 3.1. Public Comment on Agenda Items

Sova opened the Public Comment period and public comments were made.

4. Information and Reports

## **4.1.** Learning from California Community Colleges' Experiences with Common Course Numbering

The CCN Task Force heard from representatives of Los Rios Community College District and Los Angeles Community College District to better understand and center their experiences with common course numbering. The focus of the discussion was understanding what elements are "common" in their definitions of CCN (e.g., course number, title, pre-requisites, units, articulation) and what commonality—or a lack of commonality—means for students.

A critical theme emerging from this panel was that when vital articulation elements are not common, and commonly numbered courses do not articulate, students are negatively impacted. The rationale discussed is that when courses have the same number, students assume that the courses will articulate in the same way and meet the same general education and/or major requirements. Students thus take courses that they assume will count towards a degree or transfer, but because it does not articulate the same across colleges, they end up taking courses that do not count.

### 4.2. Unpacking Four-Year Articulation

The CCN Task Force heard presentations on how articulation with four-year transfer partners currently works, including a look at current approaches through Assist.org and the Course Identification Numbering System (C-ID). The presentations were designed to provide a common understanding of what articulation processes are currently in place, where those

processes work well, where there are challenges, and how a student-facing common course numbering system would interact with current approaches to articulation. The CCN Task Force also explored how data from current tools such as Assist.org can be leveraged to identify where common articulations already exist as a potential starting point for common course numbering implementation.

During this session, it was noted that representation of the four-year transfer partners is thin in the meetings. The California State University System has appointed two representatives, but the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office (CCCCO) has requested two representatives from the University of California and one representative from the Association of Independent California Colleges and Universities that have not yet been assigned. From the inception of the CCN Task Force, it has been intended that four-year representatives would comprise five out of 23 members or over 20% of the CCN Task Force membership. The CCCCO will follow up with them again.

### **4.3.** Revisiting the Emerging Definition and Schema of Student-Facing Common Course Numbering

Following the discussion of unpacking four-year articulation, the CCN Task Force revisited previous discussions about a draft definition of a student-facing common course numbering system. Based on CCN Task Force discussions in Meetings 1, 2 and 3, the following considerations for a DRAFT definition of a student-facing common course numbering system are emerging.

Note: At the request of the CCN Task Force members, changes made in Meeting 3 are easily identifiable by using bold (for new text) and strikethrough (for deleted text) formatting.

The Common Course Numbering Task Force's commitment to building a student-facing common course numbering (CCN) system stems from a shared belief that requiring students to navigate the current anachronistic course structures of the California Community Colleges (CCCs), with over 100 different course numbering systems and catalogs and just over 130,000 credit-level courses, is confusing and is a factor contributing to inequities in student outcomes. **Reducing that confusion and providing clarity to our students will be hard work, but it is necessary and it is the right thing to do. CCN is an indispensable piece of the student success and equity puzzle, and an historic opportunity for CCCs to work together and show leadership as the largest postsecondary system in the nation.<sup>1</sup>** 

3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Reflects CCN Task Force discussion during Meetings 1, 2 and 3.

To better support students and meet the transfer-focused intent of the legislation, the CCN Task Force defines student-facing CCN as a system that ensures students can identify courses across the system as being comparable and therefore transferable, applicable and articulated to degree completion across the California Community Colleges and also to the California State University and University of California Systems.<sup>2</sup> To achieve this goal, the CCN Task Force has outlined a minimum set of elements that all courses should have in common, including a number of elements that are vital for ensuring articulation. Proposing a minimum set of elements ensures that faculty continue to have appropriate influence over the content of their courses. The draft minimum set of elements to be included in Course Outlines of Record, and used for CCN as well as articulation to four-year transfer partners, follows<sup>3</sup>:

- Course number:
- Course title;
- Unit amount;
- Prerequisites;
- Modality;
- Student-learning outcomes (required for accreditation) or student-learning objectives (required by California state law);
- Satisfaction of General Education area for applicability to lower division preparation; and
- Satisfaction of major/department articulation for applicability to lower division preparation.<sup>4</sup> or equivalent COR.

Deleted content: When considering and discussing whether these items should be common, some useful guiding questions include:

- Will these items *not being common* impact students in their transitions across the CCCs and to universities?
- What data and information do we need to understand whether items not being common would help or harm students?

Additional necessary features of a student-facing CCN, emerging from CCN Task Force discussions, include:

• Is easily navigable and self-serviceable, so that students can use the system with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Reflects CCN Task Force discussion during Meetings 1 and 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Reflects CCN Task Force discussion during Meetings 2 and 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Reflects CCN Task Force discussion during Meetings 2 and 3.

- confidence on their own;<sup>5</sup>
- Does not require students to use a translator or crosswalk, or rely on meet with a counselor, to understand how their courses will transfer, and reduces or eliminates need for course substitution petitions;<sup>6</sup> and
- Is located in one place and where students interact with this information (i.e., in the catalog and schedule of classes).<sup>7</sup>

#### 4.4. Hearing from RP Group: Description of Common Course Numbering Research

Representatives from the RP Group described research the RP Group is undertaking to support and inform the work of the CCN Task Force. The RP Group will conduct a survey of all California Community College districts, analyze the results, and bring preliminary results back to the CCN Task Force at its April meeting. The survey will focus on understanding what districts currently have in place for CCN, and what their CCN systems look like. The RP Group will then launch a qualitative phase of work to understand more about the benefits and challenges of having common course numbering.

## 4.5. Discussion and Activity of Revisiting High-Level Outcomes and Work Streams for the Implementation Plan

Due to time constraints, the CCN Task Force was unable to revisit high-level outcomes for the implementation plan.

The CCN Task Force completed a survey following the November 29, 2022 meeting that helped to identify the work streams that will guide the implementation plan. Based on the survey, and discussion during the February 23, 2023 meeting, the current version of work streams is:

- 1. Aligning Elements of a Course to the CCN Definition and Schema<sup>8</sup>
  - a. Sub-group: Designing the taxonomy and rules/policies for CCN (e.g., identifying prefixes)<sup>9</sup>
- 2. Technology System Requirements for Supporting CCN<sup>10</sup>
- 3. Communications with Multiple Stakeholders<sup>11</sup>
- 4. CCN System Governance and Oversight<sup>12</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Reflects CCN Task Force discussion during Meeting 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Reflects CCN Task Force discussion during Meetings 1, 2 and 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Reflects CCN Task Force discussion during Meetings 1 and 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> During Meeting 2, the CCN Task Force referred to this work stream as "Curricular Alignment."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Based on the survey, and discussion during Meeting 3, this will now be a sub-group.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> During Meeting 2, the CCN Task Force referred to this work stream as "Technology systems upgrades."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> During Meeting 2, the CCN Task Force referred to this work stream as "Student-facing Communications Requirements."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> During Meeting 2, the CCN Task Force referred to this work stream as "Governance."

a. Sub-group: Continuous Improvement, Evaluation and Reporting<sup>13</sup> 5. Intersegmental Articulation and Coordination<sup>14</sup>

During Meeting 2, there was also a draft work stream discussed, entitled, "Principles for allocation of AB 1111 resources." Based upon the survey and inputs from Meeting 3, this work stream will be put on hold for now. Any items that were previously listed under that work stream have been moved to the work stream entitled "Common Course Numbering System Governance and Oversight."

The CCN Task Force then worked on adding actions and decision points to the implementation plan, aligned to the above workstreams. Please see the draft implementation plan found in Appendix A.

#### 4.6. Additional Feedback on the Meeting 2 Summary and Next Steps

The Meeting Summary from the November 29, 2022 meeting served as a foundational document for this meeting, and key areas of the Meeting Summary were revisited through the meeting discussions. Sova asked the CCN Task Force if anyone had additional revision requests for the Meeting Summary, and did not receive any requests for changes.

The Co-Chairs and facilitators discussed updates for the next meeting and noted that a public-facing Meeting Summary (this document) will continue to be used to support the CCN Task Force in documenting progress and challenges.

The CCN Task Force agreed to meet from 9:30am-4:00pm for the next meeting, to be held on April 27, 2023.

The Co-Chairs reiterated that the CCN Task Force members have a critical role to play in stakeholder engagement and the consultative process, and encouraged members to proactively disseminate information and request and share feedback.

5. Public Forum

#### 5.1. Public Forum on Non-Agenda Items

Sova opened the Public Forum period and public comments were made.

6. Adjournment

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> During Meeting 2, the CCN Task Force referred to this work stream as "Continuous Improvement." It has also now been made a sub-group based on feedback during Meeting 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> This is a new work stream emerging from the survey.

## Appendix A: Second Iteration of the Implementation Plan: Draft Actions and Decisions by Work Stream

Changes to the previous version of the implementation plan:

- Work stream names updated to reflect the survey and discussion from Meeting 3 (see Section 4.5 above)
- Items previously identified for a work stream entitled "Designing the taxonomy and rules/policies for CCN (e.g., identifying prefixes)" were moved to serve as a sub-group to "Aligning Elements of a Course to the CCN Definition and Schema"
- Items previously identified for a work stream entitled "Principles for Allocating Resources" were moved to "CCN System Governance and Oversight"
- Items previously identified for a work stream entitled "Continuous Improvement, Evaluation and Reporting" were moved to serve as a sub-group to "CCN System Governance and Oversight"
- Items replaced, revised or removed from the previous version of the implementation plan:
  - Replaced "Assist.org and C-ID data merge and identify the courses that are meeting both IGETC area (eventually CalGETC)" with "Evaluate current common alignments based on C-ID & Assist.org (modeled by Tiffany Tran)"
  - Replaced "CSU/UC articulation agreements" with "Start dialogue / work with CSU and UC re articulation processes (GE, major prep) given CCN intentions"
  - Replaced "Continue to monitor courses to ensure they remain the same" with "implement process for reviewing and revising descriptors / courses"
  - Shifted "Work with CCCCO curriculum process, ASSIST, Starfish, Banner,
     PeopleSoft, Catalog systems, etc. to ensure new courses are entered into them and approved" to:
    - Entry 1 in Phase 1: "Identify what needs to change related to CCCCO curriculum process, ASSIST, Starfish, Banner, PeopleSoft, Catalog systems, etc. to ensure new courses are entered into them and approved"
    - Entry 2 in Phase 2: "Begin to implement what needs to change related to CCCCO curriculum process, ASSIST, Starfish, Banner, PeopleSoft, Catalog systems, etc. to ensure new courses are entered into them and approved"
  - Replaced "Involvement of discipline experts" with "Identify faculty role in governance, honoring faculty role as curricular experts in their disciplines and faculty academic senates as the bodies making recommendations re curriculum"

- Removed "Building on Assist" (note: Assist still appears in many places, but this was quite generic)
- Removed "Do all districts need to move to a district curriculum so we don't need 116 reps?"
- Items added based on input during February 23, 2023 meeting:
  - Identify phases to clearly define movement towards major prep (e.g., start with C-ID, IGETC/ASSIST, etc.)
  - Expand on transfer level major prep, non GE courses for alignment that are not C-ID (e.g. CDF / ELE, Fire technology)
  - Identify minimum elements beyond C-ID descriptors for CORs to be accepted by CSU, UCs
  - o Evaluate infrastructure needs and expected/necessary costs to implement
  - Consider questions regarding lecture/lab components as well as modality
  - Build on existing TMC Pathways to identify additional overlap of courses and individuality within disciplines
  - How can we expand beyond articulation between CCC system to CSU/UC for non C-ID/GE courses?
  - Consider focus groups to determine most effective means of communication (esp students)
  - o Determine communication plan for various stakeholders and public
  - Develop communications plan for various media (catalog, schedule, etc transition of course #s)
  - Determine when catalog rights begin (e.g., dual enrolled, CCC, CSU, UC, private)
  - Determine who will be reviewing/evaluating CCN
  - Determine who will be making determinations re improvements
  - Create a system to pull data from existing CORs with similar C-ID or articulation to create templates
  - Review, consider how to use, and consider whether to revise, C-ID policies and procedures
  - Review local board policies to accommodate CCN implementation
  - Develop a group of all 3 systems to define 1) the minimum threshold to facilitate potential articulation in future 2) include transfer director, AO, others
  - Consider how course/curricular changes may impact student financial aid availability and eligibility? → New federal guidelines for courses and if pay for them

	023				2024				2025				2026		
Work Stream	21	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3
Aligning Elements of a Course to the CCN Definition and Schema	Define comparable / Jan 1, 2023 - Jun 30, 20 Identify minimum ele Jan 1, 2023 - Jun 30, 20 Evaluate current com Jan 1, 2023 - Jun 30, 20 Identify courses to st Jan 1, 2023 - Jun 30, 20 Inventory C-ID gener Jan 1, 2023 - Jun 30, 20 Using the existing C- Jan 1, 2023 - Jun 30, 20 Develop structure for Jan 1, 2023 - Jun 30, 20 Address colleges on: Jan 1, 2023 - Jun 30, 20 Work with CSU and U Jan 1, 2023 - Jun 30, 20 Start dialogue / work Jan 1, 2023 - Jun 30, 20 Start dialogue / work Jan 1, 2023 - Jun 30, 20	agree on what is com 024 ments beyond C-ID d 024 amon alignments base 024 al education requirem 024 ID process, bring tog 024 r approval of courses 024 semester or quarter s 024 UC systems to accept 024 with CSU and UC re a 024 early define movemen	lescriptors for CORs to be ed on C-ID & Assist.org ( ment with a discipline) ment courses (CalGETC) ether faculty discipline ( (course writing) system and approve new courserticulation processes (	per, title, units, Course O pe accepted by CSU, UC (modeled by Tiffany Trai	Q1 utline of Record, etc.) s s n) ourses and identify items to sattendance will be better	that need to be added to	o C-ID to accomplish tot	al transferability to CSU :	Q1 and UC for both Gen Ed	and Major Prep if the		Q4		Q2	Q3
	Expand on transfer le		GE courses for alignmen	t that are not C-ID (e.g.	CDF / ELE, Fire technology	y)									
I		nd guidelines for cours	se numbering and seque	encing											
	Evaluate course num Jan 1, 2023 - Jun 30, 20		ge to determine 1) what	is the most common str	ucture? 2) How much impa	act it will be to change th	ne numbering system								
							Decide on review pro Jul 1, 2024 - Jun 30, 20	cesses (including whether 25	er to or how to build on	C-ID)					
							Implement processes Jul 1, 2024 - Jun 30, 20	for GE approval (CalGE) 25	TC) to be implemented by	by Fall 2025					
							Continue work with m Jul 1, 2024 - Jun 30, 20	ajor prep courses not in 25	ot included in Phase 1						
							Identify processes for Jul 1, 2024 - Jun 30, 20	reviewing and revising of 25	course descriptors						
											Implement process for Jul 1, 2025 - Jun 30, 20	or reviewing and revising 026	descriptors / courses	'	

Mark Street	2023				2024				2025			2026			
Work Stream	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3
	Pull work group Jan 1, 2023 - Jun														
	Review, consider Jan 1, 2023 - Jun		nsider whether to revise,	C-ID policies and prod	cedures										
	Decisions re: ove Jan 1, 2023 - Jun	ersight/operation of 30, 2024	processes												
	Identify faculty r Jan 1, 2023 - Jun		nonoring faculty role as co	urricular experts in the	eir disciplines and fa	culty academic senate	es as the bodies mak	ing recommendations	re curriculum						
	Environmental so Jan 1, 2023 - Jun		ım interacts with collectiv	e bargaining agreeme	nts										
	Articulate how to Jan 1, 2023 - Jun	his work aligns with a 30, 2024	AB 928												
	Policies and pro Jan 1, 2023 - Jun		stemwide course reciproc	ity											
	Survey colleges Jan 1, 2023 - Jun	about local policies, 30, 2024	, procedures, and standar	rds for establishing CS	U transferability for	their courses									
	Regulation cours Jan 1, 2023 - Jun		repetition? (repeat after r	number changes / 3 st	rikes in state instead	of district									
	Assist inventory Jan 1, 2023 - Jun		are CID Approved/IGETC/	CSU GE Approved/UC	TCA										
CCN System Governance and Oversight	Define guiding p Jan 1, 2023 - Jun		I-related efforts (beyond	TF to all stakeholders	during roll-out)										
	Evaluate infrastr Jan 1, 2023 - Jun		xpected/necessary costs	to implement											
	Determine princ Jan 1, 2023 - Jun	iples - who gets paid 30, 2024	d for what work?												
	Decide on one ti Jan 1, 2023 - Jun	ime vs ongoing comp 30, 2024	pensation for what eleme	nts/efforts											
	Determine who y Jan 1, 2023 - Jun	will be reviewing/eva 30, 2024	luating CCN												
	Determine who y Jan 1, 2023 - Jun		minations re improvement	ts											
	What's working Jan 1, 2023 - Jun	and where are impro 30, 2024	ovements needed												

Work Stream	2023				2024				2025			2026			
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3
							Review local boar Jul 1, 2024 - Jun 30	rd policies to accomr 0, 2025	modate CCN implem	entation					
							Develop a group Jul 1, 2024 - Jun 30		efine 1) the minimum	threshold to facilitate	ootential articulation	in future 2) include tra	ansfer director, AO, ot	hers	
							Working on cours Jul 1, 2024 - Jun 30		approved or that do	n't have IGETC/CSUGE	/UCTCA approvals -	What do we do with th	nese?		
							Look into how to Jul 1, 2024 - Jun 30	expand Assist.org to 0, 2025	include common co	urse numbering					
CCN System							Development of a Jul 1, 2024 - Jun 30		ng body at the state	level to coordinate cur	riculum alignment, m	onitor changes, and u	pdate courses etc.		
Governance and Oversight (continued)							Policies / title 5 re Jul 1, 2024 - Jun 30		eatability restriction	s/limitations, residency	requirements				
							Identify funding support of infrastructure to ensure that all colleges/districts have minimum structure for approval (rubric of min needs/roles) - i.e., should all districts have minimum structure for approval (rubric of min needs/roles) - i.e., should all districts have minimum structure for approval (rubric of min needs/roles) - i.e., should all districts have minimum structure for approval (rubric of min needs/roles) - i.e., should all districts have minimum structure for approval (rubric of min needs/roles) - i.e., should all districts have minimum structure for approval (rubric of min needs/roles) - i.e., should all districts have minimum structure for approval (rubric of min needs/roles) - i.e., should all districts have minimum structure for approval (rubric of min needs/roles) - i.e., should all districts have minimum structure for approval (rubric of min needs/roles) - i.e., should all districts have minimum structure for approval (rubric of min needs/roles) - i.e., should all districts have minimum structure for approval (rubric of min needs/roles) - i.e., should all districts have minimum structure for approval (rubric of min needs/roles) - i.e., should all districts have minimum structure for approval (rubric of min needs/roles) - i.e., should all districts have minimum structure for approval (rubric of min needs/roles) - i.e., should all districts have minimum structure for approval (rubric of min needs/roles) - i.e., should all districts have minimum structure for approval (rubric of min needs/roles) - i.e., should all districts have minimum structure for approval (rubric of min needs/roles) - i.e., should all districts have minimum structure for approval (rubric of min needs/roles) - i.e., should all districts have minimum structure for approval (rubric of min needs/roles) - i.e., should all districts have minimum structure for approval (rubric of min needs/roles) - i.e., should all districts have minimum structure for approval (rubric of min needs/roles) - i.e., should all districts have minimum						e., should all districts	·	
							What's working and where are improvements needed Jul 1, 2024 - Jun 30, 2025								
											Consider how co Jul 1, 2025 - Jun 3		es may impact studer	nt financial aid availa	bility and eligibility?
											What's working a Jul 1, 2025 - Jun 3	and where are improve 0, 2026	ements needed		

