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Background and Purpose

Marty Alvarado
Executive Vice Chancellor, CCCCO
Vision Goals

1. Increase credential attainment by 20%
2. Increase transfer by 35% to UC and CSU
3. Decrease unit obtainment for a degree
4. Increase employment for CE students
5. Reduce and erase equity gaps
6. Reduce regional gaps

Commitments

1. Focus on students’ goals
2. Design with the students’ experience in mind
3. High expectations and high support
4. Foster use of data and evidence
5. Own student performance
6. Thoughtful innovation and action
7. Cross-system partnership
Scale of Adoption Assessment (SOAA)

Background

• Scale of Adoption Assessment (SOAA) v2.0
• Community College Research Center (CCRC)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale of Adoption</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not occurring</td>
<td>College is currently not following, or planning to follow, this practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not systemic</td>
<td>Practice is incomplete, inconsistent, informal, and/or optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning to scale</td>
<td>College is in the process of implementing the practice at scale and has started to put these plans into place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scaling in progress</td>
<td>Implementation of the practice is in progress for all students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At scale</td>
<td>Practice is implemented at scale—that is, for all students in all programs of study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In column three, describe the progress your college has made toward implementing each practice at scale. For practices that are scaling or at scale, note that we are also asking you to indicate whether a practice first reached this point. Finally, in column four, indicate the next steps your college plans to take toward implementing the given practice at scale and the college’s timeline for implementing these steps. Don’t be concerned if your college has made minimal progress implementing any given practice. This assessment will help your college develop and refine a plan for implementing guided pathways at scale at your college. Project partners and the Chancellor’s Office will also use this information to track the system’s progress in implementing guided pathways over time.
Purpose of SOAA

College/ District:
- Reflection on Progress
- Strategic Planning

State:
- Align Professional Development
- Assess Statewide Progress towards the *Vision for Success*

Nation:
- Common Benchmarks
Perspectives on Practice and Equity

Natalie Nagthall  
Regional Coordinator for Guided Pathways, CCCC

Janet Fulks  
Faculty Lead for Guided Pathways, ASCCC

Virginia “Ginni” May  
Guided Pathways Task Force Chair, ASCCC
## Using the SOAA tool - Response Choices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale of Adoption</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not occurring</td>
<td>College is currently not following, or planning to follow, this practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not systematic</td>
<td>Practice is incomplete, inconsistent, informal, and/or optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning to scale</td>
<td>College has made plans to implement the practice at scale and has started to put these plans into place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scaling in progress</td>
<td>Implementation of the practice is in progress for all students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At scale</td>
<td>Practice is implemented at scale—that is, for all students in all programs of study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How Careful Should I Be on My Answers?

In the national project we learned several things:

- Some colleges, particularly new to GP work, **overestimated** their adoption and work because they did not know the extent of the change.
- Some colleges, that were further along, **underestimated** their work because it seemed like such a huge change.
- You should use this to get a good baseline, defined by your work, to scale and evaluate progress going forward.
- This is an opportunity to document and communicate.
The Language of the SOAA Tool

Issues with language:

- Programs
- Equity
- Gateway
- Advisor
Governance Processes for Guided Pathways

- A guided pathways structure that parallels governance. There may be points of intersection, but not necessarily integration. How sustainable is this?
- College personnel report they are working on Guided Pathways, but critical decisions are made “over there”.
- Moving towards integrating guided pathways and adaptation governance to support and advance guided pathways implementation while taking care of “regular business”. 
Some Sample Issues to Consider

- Some colleges are stuck or parked because they have not designated timelines or final authorities.
- One college worked diligently to identify meta-majors and program maps only to find that when the final structure was announced, changes had been negotiated, departments moved, etc, beyond the final document.
Some Sample Issues to Consider

- Some GP teams are operating parallel or independent of normal processes
- Communication and transparency issues - One college created a website that dated and recorded Guided Pathways work allowing people to see numerous iterations, dated, with explanations of the committees adopting the changes
Scheduling the Governance Component

1. Guided Pathways committee and first draft to Academic Senate
   - Create an initial DRAFT and opportunity for two meetings with each level of governance

2. Suggestion: Program Review and Curriculum Committees
   - Create agenda items that allow for discussion, suggestions, and changes,
   - Appointed time or response and suggestions,
   - Send document reminder about reporting to constituencies

3. Student government, Admin Councils, Classified Senate, Final
   - Allow two meetings for discussion and updates

4. Highest level participatory governance committee
   - Approval by Board of Trustees
   - The submission date is March 1 to the CCCC

5. Board meeting closest to this
Equity Considerations in Area 1:
- Are the college’s website and program pages easy to navigate and understand for students and families without prior experience with higher education?
- How could the college ensure that access to and use of this information is equitable for students who have been historically underrepresented and/or underserved in higher education (e.g., racial/ethnic minority students, lower-income students, first-generation students, students with disabilities, indigenous students, formerly incarcerated students, veterans, undocumented students, etc.)?
- How are financial costs, potential debt, and economic benefits of program completion (including paths to program-relevant regional employment, projected earnings, and transfer outcomes) made clear for prospective students? Do program websites clarify differences in earnings potential between related certificates and degrees and across levels of educational attainment?

- What is your Why?
- How do you locally define equity?
- How do you measure equity?
**Equity Considerations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. MAPPING PATHWAYS TO STUDENT END GOALS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Programs are organized and marketed in broad career-focused academic and communities or “meta-majors”. <em>(Note: This practice was added to the SOAA in February 2019)</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Progress to date:
- Click or tap here to enter text.

### Term, if at scale or scaling:
- Click or tap here to enter text.

### Next steps:
- Click or tap here to enter text.

- Equity as a Guiding Principle
- Disaggregation of Data
- Strategic Planning using Equity
Equity Considerations

Equity Considerations in Area 4:
- How is the college ensuring that underrepresented students participate in program-relevant active and experiential learning opportunities?
- As faculty make curricular changes to better align course assignments with program learning outcomes, how does the college support faculty to implement pedagogical changes that better support learning outcomes success for underrepresented students (e.g., culturally responsive teaching)?
- What opportunities exist for faculty or advisors to critically examine their role in advancing equity-minded teaching and advising practices at the college (e.g., critically examining the role of unconscious bias in the classroom or advising that could affect student aspirations for a particular field and/or program selection)?
- Is the college disaggregating program learning outcomes data, program retention and completion data, and other assessment measures by race, income, age, and gender to examine equity gaps? How is this data disseminated and discussed among college staff, with students, and with the outside community?

- Understanding the student journey
- Structural policies and practices
- Implicit bias
- Cultural competency
NOVA GP Module Demonstration

Thomas Ponik
Analyst, CCCCO

Barbara Lezon
Specialist, CCCCO
Key Points

- NOVA module is almost identical to the Word/PDF version
  - Intent was to change as little as possible, yet add valuable features
- New features/sections include:
  - Ability to indicate support is needed for specific practices/activities
  - Two new questions: Student engagement and course alignment - based on requirements in legislative reporting
  - Success story - share the successes you are experiencing on your campus!
  - Upload prior SOAA document - April 2019 submission (PDF document)
Key Points

• Only one scale metric may be selected per practice
  • If you feel you are “in between” scale metrics, you may explain in the Progress to Date and/or Next Steps fields
• All entries are autosaved, and you do not have to complete the steps-tabs in order
• College President and Academic Senate President are official approvers
  • Sharing drafts with other stakeholders is encouraged!
NOVA GP Module Support

Thomas Ponik
tponik@cccco.edu
916-323-6877

For login issues/password resets contact
nova-support@productops.com
What’s Next?

• SOAA NOVA module is live now
• Q&A Support Sessions
• Certification required by March 1, 2020
  • Reminders sent through NOVA and listserv as deadline approaches
• Recorded training session will be posted to CO_GuidedPathways listserv
• FAQs document will be created based on Zoom sessions
Q&A Zoom Sessions

Tuesday, November 12 - 10:00am-11:30am
https://cccconfer.zoom.us/j/321530220
+1 669 900 6833

Wednesday, November 13 - 2:00pm-3:30pm
https://cccconfer.zoom.us/j/325296192
+1 669 900 6833

Please submit questions by Nov 8th, if possible:
https://forms.gle/rBBZQEXv5F2iursC8
Thank You!