

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ELOY ORTIZ OAKLEY, CHANCELLOR

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL

Marc A. LeForestier, General Counsel Jacob H. Knapp, Deputy Counsel Peter V. Khang, Deputy Counsel

CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY COLLEGES CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE

1102 Q STREET, SUITE 4400 SACRAMENTO, CA 95811-6549 (916) 445-8752 http://www.cccco.edu

DATE: January 30, 2018

TO: California Community Colleges

FROM: Marc LeForestier

General Counsel

SUBJECT: Advisory 18-01: "Sanctuary" Jurisdiction Legislation

Senate Bill 54 (2017) and Assembly Bill 21 (2017)

This advisory provides information regarding recent California "sanctuary" jurisdiction legislation that prohibits state and local agencies from using resources to further certain federal immigration enforcement efforts. This legislation is contained in Senate Bill 54 (2017)¹ and Assembly Bill 21 (2017).² These new laws went into effect on January 1, 2018.

The Trump Administration contends that sanctuary jurisdiction laws conflict with 8 U.S.C. § 1373, which prohibits local jurisdictions from restricting their employees' communications with immigration and customs enforcement personnel regarding a person's immigration status. On January 25, 2017, President Trump issued Executive Order 13768 which, among other things, grants discretion to the Secretary of Homeland Security and the Attorney General to bar sanctuary jurisdictions from receiving federal funding. (See Executive Order: Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States Administration, Jan. 25, 2017, § 9.)³ However, a federal court has permanently enjoined enforcement of Executive Order 13768, a ruling that is being appealed. (*County of Santa Clara v. Trump*, 250 F.Supp.3d 497 (N.D. Cal, 2017).) Notwithstanding the injunction, on November 15, 2017, Attorney General Sessions sent letters to 29 "sanctuary cities" threatening to claw back FY 2016 federal funds from the Byrne/JAG account that principally supports local enforcement agencies.⁴ Of potential significance to educational institutions is that the federal government argued in the *Santa Clara* litigation that section 9(a) of Executive Order 13768 does not extend to all federal funding (as its broad language

¹ Senate Bill 54 (accessed Dec. 28, 2017).

² Assembly Bill 21 (accessed Dec. 28, 2017).

³ Executive Order: Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States (accessed Dec. 28, 2017).

⁴ <u>DOJ Press Release</u> (accessed Dec. 28, 2017). California jurisdictions that received these letters included Berkeley, Contra Costa County, Fremont, Los Angeles, Monterey County, Riverside County, Sacramento County, San Francisco, Santa Ana, Santa Clara County, Sonoma County, and Watsonville.

indicates), but implicates only three sources of federal funding in the Departments of Homeland Security and the Department of Justice. (*County of Santa Clara, supra*, 250 F.Supp.3d at p. 508.) California community colleges may wish to determine whether their police departments receive funding from these sources.

A. Senate Bill 54 and Community College Police

The Education Code authorizes the governing board of a community college district to establish a community college police department under the supervision of a community college chief of police. (Ed. Code, § 72330, subd (a).) Community college police are sworn peace officers. (Ed. Code, § 72330, subd (c); Penal Code, § 830 et seq.)

Senate Bill 54 reflects the view that California's public policy interests are best served "by a relationship of trust between California's immigrant community and state and local agencies" (Govt. Code, § 7284.2), and that this interest would be undermined, resources would be misallocated, and constitutional concerns would arise, if state and local law enforcement agencies cooperate with federal immigration enforcement officials.

Accordingly, Senate Bill 54 eliminates state and local law enforcement discretion to use money and personnel to investigate, interrogate, detain, detect, or arrest persons, or to conduct other activities for immigration enforcement purposes. (Govt. Code, § 7284.6.) Exceptions exist related to individuals who have committed serious crimes. (Govt. Code, § 7282.5, subd (a).) The legislation applies expressly to community college police. (Govt. Code, § 7284.4, subds (a) and (k).)

The California Attorney General's Office is required to publish model policies to explain these requirements by October 1, 2018. (Govt. Code, § 7284.8.) The purpose of these policies is to explain how to limit assistance with federal immigration enforcement "to the fullest extent possible." They will be designed for adoption by all public schools, health facilities operated by the state or a political subdivision of the state, and courthouses. (Ibid.) The legislation also states that police agencies must comply with any more stringent policies adopted by local jurisdictions. (See Govt. Code, § 7284.6, subds. (a)(1)(C), (b).)

1. Cooperation with immigration enforcement that is prohibited

Senate Bill 54 identifies six categories of cooperation with federal immigration enforcement efforts that are expressly prohibited, effective January 1, 2018. These provisions' effects upon community college police are described below.

- ❖ Use of state and local funds. The use personnel or funds to investigate, interrogate, detain, detect, or arrest persons is prohibited. This prohibition could be violated by engaging in any of the following conduct: inquiring into an individual's immigration status, detaining an individual on the basis of an Immigration and Customs Enforcement hold request; providing information regarding a person's release date from custody, or providing other related non-public information; providing personal information about an individual, including non-public contact information; making or intentionally participating in arrests based on civil immigration warrants; assisting immigration authorities in the activities not authorized by a judicial warrant (see 8 U.S.C. § 1357(a)(3)); or performing the functions of an immigration officer. (Govt Code, § 7284.6, subd. (a)(1).)
- ❖ Federal supervision. Community college police may not be under the supervision of federal agencies or be deputized as special federal officers or special federal deputies for purposes of immigration enforcement. California peace officers remain subject to California law governing the conduct of peace officers and the policies of the employing agency. (Govt Code, § 7284.6, subd. (a)(2).)

- ❖ Immigration authorities as interpreters. Community college police shall not use immigration authorities as interpreters for law enforcement matters relating to individuals in agency or department custody. (Govt Code, § 7284.6, subd. (a)(3).)
- ❖ Transfers to immigration authorities. Community college police shall not transfer an individual to immigration authorities unless authorized by a judicial warrant or judicial probable cause determination, or if the person has convicted a serious crime listed in Government Code section 7282.5. (Govt Code, § 7284.6, subd. (a)(4).)
- ❖ Provision of office space. Law enforcement agencies shall not provide office space exclusively dedicated for immigration authorities within a city or county facility. (Govt Code, § 7284.6, subd. (a)(5).)
- Facilities contracts. Community college police shall not contract with the federal government to allow local facilities to house individuals as federal detainees, except to conclude an existing contract, or to house unaccompanied minors., as authorized by the Government Code. (Govt Code, § 7284.6, subd. (a)(6) citing Govt. Code §§ 7310, 7311].)

2. Cooperation with immigration enforcement that Is permitted under Senate Bill 54

Senate Bill 54 also identifies categories of state and local police cooperation with federal immigration enforcement that remain permitted after January 1, 2018, provided they are also authorized by local policy.

- Re-entry following deportation. If in the course of an unrelated law enforcement activity a community college police agency detects a violation of the federal prohibition against re-entry following deportation (8 U.S.C. § 1326),that agency may investigate, enforce, detain, or arrest, under applicable standards. (Govt Code, § 7284.6, subd. (b)(1).)
- ❖ Specific criminal history inquiries. Community college police may respond to a request from immigration authorities for information about a specific person's criminal history, including previous criminal arrests, convictions, or similar criminal history information accessed through the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS), where otherwise permitted by state law. (Govt Code, § 7284.6, subd. (b)(2).)
- ❖ Task force participation. Community college police may conduct enforcement or investigative duties associated with a joint law enforcement task force, including the sharing of confidential information with other law enforcement agencies for purposes of task force investigations, subject to a number of specified conditions, including that the task force's primary purpose is not immigration enforcement. (Govt Code, § 7284.6, subd. (b)(3).)
- Crime victim information. Community college police may inquire into information necessary to certify that an individual who has been identified as a potential crime or trafficking victim is eligible for a specified visa program. (Govt Code, § 7284.6, subd. (b)(4).)
- Custodial interviews. Immigration authorities may be provided access to custodial interviews of an individual in agency or department custody, provided the access is in compliance with the TRUTH Act. (Govt Code, § 7284.6, subd. (b)(5) [citing Govt. Code, § 7283].)

B. Assembly Bill 21

Assembly Bill 21 places a number of affirmative obligations on community college districts to prevent student, staff, and faculty from participation in federal immigration enforcement efforts "to the fullest extent consistent with state and federal law." The bill is intended to protect the state's students, faculty, staff, and the public, by ensuring that everyone in California has an opportunity to pursue an education free from intimidation, and without fear or undue risk. (Ed. Code, § 66093, subd. (a).) Effective January 1, 2018, Assembly Bill 21 imposes the following obligations:

- ❖ Protection of Personal Information. College districts must refrain from disclosing personal information about students, faculty, and staff. There are five exceptions to this rule: (1) there is proper consent; (2) state and federal privacy laws permit the disclosure; (3) to implement the program for which the information was obtained; (4) as part of a directory that does not include residence addresses or individual persons' course schedules and that the person has not elected to opt out of; or (5) in response to a judicial warrant, court order, or subpoena. (Ed. Code, § 66093.3, subd. (a).)
- Notice of Immigration Enforcement Activity. College districts must advise all students, faculty, and staff to notify the office of the college district chancellor or president immediately if an immigration officer is expected to enter, will enter, or has entered the campus to execute a federal immigration order. (Ed. Code, § 66093.3, subd. (b).)
- Notification of Emergency Contact. If there is reason to suspect that a student, faculty, or staff person has been taken into custody in an immigration enforcement action, the college district shall immediately notify the person's emergency contact. (Ed. Code, § 66093.3, subd. (c).)
- ❖ Compliance with Judicial Warrants and Subpoenas. An immigration officer may only be allowed access to nonpublic areas of the campus upon presentation of a judicial warrant. This subdivision shall not apply to nonenforcement activities, including an immigration officer's request for access or information related to the operation of international student, staff, or faculty programs, or employment verification efforts. (Ed. Code, § 66093.3, subd. (d).)
- * Response to On-Campus Immigration Enforcement. The college district shall advise all students, faculty, and staff having contact with an immigration officer executing a federal immigration order to refer the entity or individual to the office of the district chancellor or president, to verify the legality of the warrant, court order, or subpoena.⁵ (Ed. Code, § 66093.3, subd. (e).)
- Single Point of Contact. College districts shall designate a staff person to serve as a point of contact for any student, faculty, or staff person who could be subject to an immigration order or inquiry on campus. Unless the disclosure is permitted by state and federal education privacy law, faculty and staff persons shall be prohibited from discussing the personal information, including immigration status information, of any student, faculty, or staff person with anyone, or revealing that personal information to anyone. (Ed. Code, § 66093.3, subd. (f).)

⁵ Exemplars of administrative warrants and subpoenas and judicial warrants and subpoenas are attached to illustrate what administrative and judicial warrants and subpoenas look like. Colleges and districts should seek legal advice regarding how to respond upon receipt of such documents.

- Legal Services. College districts shall maintain and provide free of charge to students a contact list of immigration legal services providers upon request. (Ed. Code, § 66093.3, subd. (g).)
- ❖ Attorney General's Office Model Policy. College districts shall adopt and implement, by March 1, 2019, the model policy developed by the Attorney General's Office (or an equivalent policy) that limits assistance with immigration enforcement to the fullest extent possible consistent with federal and state law. (Ed. Code, § 66093.3, subd. (h).)
- ❖ Internet Resources. College districts must also post and maintain current information prominently displayed on their Internet sites including, the Attorney General's Office model policy, relevant guidance regarding their rights under state and federal immigration laws. (Ed. Code, § 66093.3, subd. (i).)
- ❖ Hold Undocumented Students Harmless. In the event that an undocumented student is detained, deported, or is unable to attend to his or her academic requirements due to an immigration enforcement action, the college district shall make all reasonable efforts to assist the student in retaining any eligibility for financial aid, fellowship stipends, exemption from nonresident tuition fees, funding for research or other educational projects, housing stipends or services, or other benefits he or she has been awarded or received, and permit the student to be reenrolled if and when the student is able to return to the college. Staff should be available to assist undocumented students, and other students, faculty, and staff whose education or employment is at risk because of federal immigration actions. (Ed. Code, § 66093.3, subd. (j).)

C. Attached Documents

1. Exemplars of Administrative and Judicial Subpoenas and Warrants

Chancellor's Office Contact

Legal: Marc LeForestier, General Counsel

mleforestier@ccco.edu 916.445-6272

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

392	In the Matter of the Search of)	10		
	(Briefly describe the property to be searched or identify the person by name and address))	Case No.		
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ś	Case Ivo.		400
	EF)			
). ·			
	SEARC	H AND SEIZ	URE WARRA	NT	
To:	Any authorized law enforcement officer			8	
	An application by a federal law enforcem following person or property located in the		District		the search
(identij	fy the person or describe the property to be searched a	and give its location)	:		
descri	I find that the affidavit(s), or any recorded bed above, and that such search will reveal?				ize the person or property
				200	
	*	16	10 1		
					23
	YOU ARE COMMANDED to execute the				(not to exceed 14 days)
	I in the daytime 6:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.	☐ at any time in	the day or night be	cause good cause	c has been established.
	Unless delayed notice is authorized below n from whom, or from whose premises, the p rty was taken.				
as req	The officer executing this warrant, or an ouried by law and promptly return this warrant		to		
			(United States Magis:	trate Judge)
§ 270: proper	 Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3103a(b), I find the following of trial and authorize the first, will be searched or seized (check the appropriate of the form of the first of the fir	e officer executir priate box)	ng this warrant to de	clay notice to the	
12		are men junutyn	.g, the taser spectfit		
T) = 4					
Date a	and time issued:		÷	Judge's sign	alure
-					5530000
City a	nd state:			Printed name o	and title
				a remote months of	PHI IND

		File No.
		riie ivo,
		Date;
I		pursuant to sections 236 and 287 of the ad part 287 of title 8, Code of Federal rest for immigration violations
	etermined that there is probable cause	
is remov	able from the United States. This de-	termination is based upon;
. 🔲 1	the execution of a charging document	to initiate removal proceedings against the subject;
	the pendency of ongoing removal pro	ceedings against the subject;
- 🗆 1	the failure to establish admissibility s	ubsequent to deferred inspection;
dat info is r reli	abases that affirmatively indicate, by primation, that the subject either lacks emovable under U.S. immigration that the statements made voluntarily by the statements made voluntarily by the statements.	bject to an immigration officer and/or other are the subject either lacks immigration status or
	RE COMMANDED to stress as stake tion and Nations May Act, also above-n	te into custody for removal proceedings under the amed alien.
		(Signature of Authorized Immigration Officer)
		(Printed Name and Title of Authorized Immigration Officer)
	Certific	cate of Service
hereby certi	ify that the Warrant for Arrest of Alie	
		(Location)
on	(Name of Alien)	, and the contents of this
*	(Name of Alien)	(Date of Service)
otice were r	ead to him or her in the	language.
	(I	anguage)
. N	lame and Signature of Officer	Name or Number of Interpreter (if applicable)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

		1			
	Plaintff				
	ν.)	Civil Action No.		
)			
	Defendant	- ;			
	-				
	POENA TO PRODUCE DO OR TO PERMIT INSPECTIO				2
	ZK TO TEKNIT INSTRUM	211 OI 1111	andsias at a Ci	TERCHON .	
To:					
	(Name of pers	on to whom th	is subpoena is directed	()	
	OU ARE COMMANDED to 1 Ily stored information, or object				
Place:			Date and Time:		
	ed or controlled by you at the ti survey, photograph, test, or san				
71.00			1-1197-1-1078		4.400.000
Rule 45(d), relating to y respond to this subpoen	provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 4: your protection as a person sub a and the potential consequence	ject to a sub	ppoena; and Rule 4		
Date:					
	CLERK OF COURT				
			OR		
	Signature of Clerk or Dep	nity Clerk		Attorney's signo	dure
The name, address, e-n	nail address, and telephone nun	nber of the a			who are
			, who issu	es or requests this s	suppoena, are:

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things or the inspection of premises before trial, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

	DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
	IMMIGRATION ENFORCEMENT
	SUBPOENA
	to Appear and/or Produce Records
	8 U.S.C. § 1225(d), 8 C.F.R. § 287.4
ibpoena Number	
In Reference To	
(Title of Proceeding)	(File Number, if Applicable)
the service of this subpoena upon you, YOU ARE HE	REBY SUMMONED AND REQUIRED TO:
Enforcement (ICE), or U.S. Citizenship and	der Protection (CBP), U.S. Immigration and Customs Immigration Services (USCIS) Official named in Block 3 stify and give information relating to the matter indicated in
(B) PRODUCE the records (books, papers, or our USCIS Official named in Block 3 at the place	other documents) indicated in Block 4, to the CBP, ICE, or se, date, and time specified.
ur testimony and/or production of the indicated record	
uiry relating to the enforcement of U.S. immigration la	
to an order of contempt by a federal District Court, a	
W ODD ION PRODUCTION	Control of the contro
(A) LBP, ICE DELISCIS UNICIBIDEIORE WOOM VOIL are requi	ired to annear (2) Data America
· 大學是是有關於自然的自然不可以可以可以可以可以可以可以可以可以可以可以可以可以可以可以可以可以可以可以	red to appear (8) Date
Name 2	ired to appear (음) Date
(A) CBP, ICE or USCIS Official before whom you are requ Name Title	red to appear (8) Date
Name	
Name 2	
Name Example 1997 Title Address 88	
Name Zana Aldress & Telephone Number Sana Aldress Number Sana Aldr	
Name Title Address Telephone Number Records required to be produced for Inspection	
Name Title Address Telephone Number Records required to be produced for Inspection	
Name Title Address Telephone Number Records required to be produced for Inspection	
Name Title Address Address Telephone Number Records required to be produced for Inspection	
Name Title Address Telephone Number Records required to be produced for Inspection	
Name Title Address Address Telephone Number Records required to be produced for Inspection	
Name Title Address Address Telephone Number Records required to be produced for Inspection	
Name Title Address Address Telephone Number Records required to be produced for Inspection	
Title Address & Telephone Number Seconds required to be produced for Inspection	(C) Time (a.m. □ p.
Title Address & Telephone Number Secords required to be produced for Inspection	
Title Address E Telephone Number Records required to be produced for Inspection	(C) Time (a.m. □ p.
Title Address B Telephone Number C Records required to be produced for Inspection	(C) Time (a.m. □ p.
Title Address B Telephone Number S Records required to be produced for Inspection	(C) Time (a.m. □ p.
Title Address B Telephone Number S Records required to be produced for Inspection	(C) Time (∑a.m. □p.
Title Address B Telephone Number C Records required to be produced for Inspection	(C) Time (a.m. □ p.
Title Address R Telephone Number Records required to be produced for Inspection 5. Aut	(C) Time (Sa.m. □p.
Title Address & Telephone Number Records required to be produced for Inspection	(C) Time (∑a.m. □p. thorized @rickst (Sighauro) (Printed Name)