



**November 2nd – 3rd, 2009 Board of Governors
Board Meeting Minutes**

November 2nd, 2009

Call to Order

Board of Governors President Lance Izumi called the meeting to order at 9:30 a.m.

Board of Governors Members Attendance

X	Manuel Baca		Pauline Larwood
X	Geoffrey Baum	X	Deborah Malumed
X	Barbara Davis-Lyman	X	Robert McDonald
X	Kristin Jackson Franklin	X	Alice Perez
	Benita Haley		Gary Reed
X	Scott Himelstein	X	J. Alfred Smith, Sr.
X	Lance Izumi	X	Tanna Thomas

Welcome to City College of San Francisco

Chancellor Don Q. Griffin

Chancellor Griffin welcomed the Board of Governors and Chancellor Scott to City College of San Francisco and presented a proclamation to the Board from the City College of San Francisco Board of Trustees. He also discussed information about the students, faculty, and college courses offered at City College of San Francisco.

President’s Report

President Lance Izumi

Board President Lance Izumi thanked Chancellor Griffin and City College of San Francisco for hosting the November 2009, Board of Governors meeting.

Chancellor’s Report

Chancellor Jack Scott:

1. Thanked City College of San Francisco for hosting the Board of Governors meeting.
2. Attended and spoke at many conferences and colleges around the state.
3. Spoke about the state of community colleges. (e.g. budget cuts and enrollment growth)
4. Discussed the meeting between the Consultation Council’s Task Force on Accreditation and the Accrediting Commission.



November 2nd – 3rd, 2009 Board of Governors Board Meeting Minutes

Board Comments

Scott Himelstein, J. Alfred Smith, Sr., Alice Perez, Tanna Thomas

Public Comments

Bob Davis

Item 1 Special Presentation

Item 1.1 Resolution

Presented by: Lance Izumi and Jack Scott

Board President Lance Izumi and Chancellor Jack Scott presented a resolution to Mary Bitterman, President of the Osher Foundation.

Dr. Mary Bitterman thanked the Board for the resolution and spoke about the Osher gift.

Item 1.2 Resolution

Presented by: Lance Izumi

This item presented a resolution to Dr. Tod A. Burnett, former Vice Chancellor of Strategic Planning and Communications. Dr. Burnett was not able to attend the Board meeting to receive his resolution.

Item 2 Consent Calendar

Consent Calendar items were presented to the Board of Governors by Board President Lance Izumi. Board member Manuel Baca motioned for approval of the Consent Calendar. The motion was seconded by Board member Debbie Malumed. The votes were unanimous in support of the motion.

Item 2.1 Approval of the Minutes

This item requested Board approval of the September 14-15, 2009 meeting minutes.

Item 2.2 Selection of the Campus Site for the November 2010 Board of Governors Meeting

This item requested that the Board select El Camino Community College District as the site for the November 2010 Board meeting.

Item 2.3 Board of Governors Meeting Dates for 2011

This item requested that the Board select the following dates for the 2011 Board meetings:

January 10 -11

March 7-8

May 9-10

July 11-12

September 12-13

November 7-8



November 2nd – 3rd, 2009 Board of Governors Board Meeting Minutes

Item 2.4 Revisions to the Disciplines List

This item presented revisions to the Disciplines List.

Item 2.5 Title 5 Regulations: Proposed Revisions to Cooperative Work Experience Education

This item presented revision to title 5 regulations related to cooperative work experience education.

Item 3 Action

Item 3.1 Approval of Contracts and Grants

Presented by: Steve Bruckman

This item will be presented on Tuesday, November 3rd, 2009.

Item 3.2 Election of Board Officers for 2010

Presented by: Lance Izumi

The following Board members were nominated for Board officers at the September 2009 Board meeting.

President – *Deborah Malumed*

Vice President – *Scott Himmelstein*

California Postsecondary Education Commission (CPEC) Representative – *Alice Perez*

CPEC Alternate – *Pauline Larwood*

Board member Tanna Thomas motioned for the nominees to be approved as officers of the Board for 2010. The motion was seconded by Board member J. Alfred Smith, Sr. The votes were unanimous in support of the motion.

Item 3.3 District Obligation for Full-Time Faculty Hires

Presented by: Erik Skinner

Vice Chancellor Erik Skinner requested that the Board determine that the budget does not contain sufficient resources to support an increase in the number of full-time faculty that a district is required to employ for Fall 2010. The request by Vice Chancellor Skinner is based on the fact that the recently adopted budget provides no COLA, no growth, and contains significant reductions in base funding.



November 2nd – 3rd, 2009 Board of Governors Board Meeting Minutes

Vice Chancellor Skinner reported that this issue was discussed at Consultation Council and there was no controversy. All parties agreed that the budget doesn't contain resources to support an increase in the full-time faculty obligation. However there was a discussion around the system not making greater progress in achieving the full-time faculty obligation goal.

Board members requested that staff provide historical data on the effort of districts to move toward this goal at the yearly September meetings of the Board.

Board member Alice Perez motioned for the Board to take the recommended action on this item. The recommendation is for the Board to determine that the *2009-10 Budget Act* does not provide adequate funding to support increases in districts' full-time faculty hiring obligation for Fall 2010. The motion was seconded by Board member Scott Himmelstein. The votes were unanimous in support of the motion.

Board Comments

Barbara Davis-Lyman, Scott Himmelstein

Public Comments

John McDowell, Jr., Scott Lay, Jane Patton

Item 3.4 Request for Approval of the Rancho Santiago Community College District's Proposed Orange Education Center

Presented by: Erik Skinner

Vice Chancellor Erik Skinner discussed this item with the Board and requested approval of the Orange Education Center.

Board member Robert McDonald motioned for the Board to take the recommended action on this item. The recommendation is for the Board to approve the Orange Education Center of the Rancho Santiago Community College District as a state approved center. The motion was seconded by Board member Manuel Baca. The votes were unanimous in support of the motion.

Board Comments

Geoffrey Baum, Tanna Thomas

Item 3.5 2009-2010 Nursing and Allied Health Program Expenditure Plan

Presented by: José Millan

Vice Chancellor José Millan discussed this item with the Board. In his presentation he went over the funding reduction in nursing programs and the increase in the amount of funds for allied health programs. Vice Chancellor Millan also mentioned that the nursing program attrition rate has gone from 27 percent to 20 percent.



November 2nd – 3rd, 2009 Board of Governors Board Meeting Minutes

Board member Debbie Malumed motioned for the Board to take the recommended action on this item. The recommendation is for the Board to approve the revisions to the *Nursing and Allied Health Expenditure Plan*, as presented. The motion was seconded by Board member Alice Perez. The votes were unanimous in support of the motion.

Board Comments

Kristin Franklin, Scott Himmelstein, Geoffrey Baum, Tanna Thomas, Manuel Baca

Item 3.6 2010-11 Economic and Workforce Development Program Expenditure Plan

Presented by: José Millan

Vice Chancellor José Millan requested that the Board approve the 2010-11 Economic and Workforce Development Program Expenditure Plan with the understanding that this program has been cut significantly by the Legislature. This program is part of the flexible categorical programs pool and will be up for reauthorization by the Legislature in 2013.

Vice Chancellor Millan is looking at restructuring the entire Economic and Workforce Development program to the extent that the programs will have a regional or statewide focus rather than a local focus.

Board member Robert McDonald motioned for the Board to take the recommended action on this item. The recommendation is for the Board to approve the *2010-11 Expenditure Plan* as presented. The motion was seconded by Board member Manuel Baca. The votes were unanimous in support of the motion.

Board Comments

Tanna Thomas, Geoffrey Baum, Alice Perez, Robert McDonald, Manuel Baca, Scott Himmelstein

Item 3.7 2010-2011 Career and Technical Education Improvement Act Expenditure Plan

Presented by: José Millan

Vice Chancellor José Millan requested that the Board approve the 2010-2011 Career and Technical Education Improvement Act Expenditure Plan. He explained that this request is asking for the Board's authorization to spend federal funds that are not affected by the state budget crisis.

Board member Tanna Thomas motioned for the Board to take the recommended action on this item. The recommendation is for the Board to approve the *2010-2011 Career and Technical Education Expenditure Plan* as presented. The motion was seconded by Board member Robert McDonald. The votes were unanimous in support of the motion.

Board Comments

Alice Perez, Tanna Thomas, Geoffrey Baum



November 2nd – 3rd, 2009 Board of Governors
Board Meeting Minutes

Public Comments
Jonathan Lightman

Item 4 Information and Reports

Item 4.2 2009-10 System Budget

Presented by: Erik Skinner

Vice Chancellor Erik Skinner updated the Board on the *2009-10 System Budget*. Vice Chancellor Skinner reported that the Legislative Analyst Office (LAO) will release an updated fiscal outlook that provides updated expenditure and revenue estimate sometime in the month of November. California is still in the midst of an unprecedented fiscal crisis and the state's general fund revenues have dropped by 18 percent over the last two years.

Current Year Information

Mid-year cuts not likely. However if revenues continue to lag that could change. Property tax collection is the biggest threat to community colleges.

Next Year Information

\$8 billion budget gap in 2010-11. The budget adopted by the Governor and the Legislature in 2009-10 included \$8 billion in one time funding solutions.

The Chancellor's Office is currently working to get ARRA funds out to districts by late November. Also various groups have sent out surveys to district to get reactions to the budget cuts. The Chancellor's Office is gathering and compiling that information so that we can use it to speak to state leaders and to share with other colleges.

Board members had the following questions/comments and staff responded.

1. Are we anticipating any budget cuts for this year?
Based on the data that we have received so far the probability of current year cuts is very slim.
2. What are we telling districts about reserves?
We recommend a reserve of 5 percent for districts. The reserve is not mandatory but strongly recommended.
3. Are there any surveys that look at the impact of the budget cuts on support staff services?
Some questions in the Chief Business Officers (CBOs) survey address this question however there may be a need to collect additional information.



November 2nd – 3rd, 2009 Board of Governors Board Meeting Minutes

4. Have you heard anything about fee increases for the future year?
I haven't heard any active conversations on that topic.

Board Comments

Geoffrey Baum, Tanna Thomas, Debbie Malumed, J. Alfred Smith, Sr., Kristin Franklin, Manuel Baca, Robert McDonald, Barbara Davis-Lyman

Item 4.4 California Forward Presentation

Presented by: Jack Scott

Chancellor Jack Scott introduced Robert Hertzberg co-chair of California Forward to the Board.

Mr. Hertzberg spoke to the Board about ways the California Forward organization is trying to help fix various problems in the state. Mr. Hertzberg reported that California Forward has reviewed various reports, visited 45 of the 50 California counties, and has conducted surveys to help with this objective.

Based on information that was received the organization decided to:

1. Create an initiative that deals with the budget. This initiative will ask voters to approve a 50 percent + 1 vote for budget adoption, will maintain a 2/3 votes on tax issues, and request a two-year budget be adopted by the state.
2. Create an initiative that brings government closer to the people. This initiative will stop the raid of local money by state government, allow local governments to allocate their own local money, and allow local governments to raise the sales taxes.

Board Comments

Manuel Baca, Geoffrey Baum, Scott Himelstein, Alice Perez

Item 4.3 State and Federal Update

Presented by: Marlene Garcia

Vice Chancellor Marlene Garcia provided an update on state and federal legislative activities to the Board.

State Update

October 11 was the deadline for the Governor to act on legislation before him. The Governor signed a number of bills that were beneficial to community colleges.

1. **AB 386 (Ruskin) Instructional Materials: Disabled Students** – This bill requires that publishers of audiovisual instructional materials used at colleges and universities must provide



November 2nd – 3rd, 2009 Board of Governors Board Meeting Minutes

captioning or other alternatives to make these materials accessible to students with disabilities. This bill was sponsored by the Board of Governors.

2. **AB 669 (Fong) Residency Requirements: Foster Youth** – This bill authorizes community colleges to allow emancipated foster youth who are age 19 and under to pay the resident tuition and fees rate at public colleges and universities. This bill was sponsored by the Board of Governors.
3. **AB 1295 (Fuller) Nursing Degree Programs** – This bill helps streamline the transfer pathway for community college students who earn their Associate Degree in Nursing (ADN), are licensed as a registered nurse and who apply to a California State University to complete a Bachelor of Science in Nursing (BSN). This bill was supported by the Board of Governors.

Several Special Sessions are underway. This week the Senate will meet in a Special Session on Race to the Top Funds.

AB 187 (Assembly Budget Committee) Cal Grant Decentralization – This bill establishes a pilot project for the decentralization of the Cal Grant A and B Entitlement program on at least a total of 30 CCC, CSU, and UC college campuses and not more than 35 campuses. This measure restores \$4.3 million to the California Student Aid Commissions budget. This bill was approved in a Special Session.

Federal Update

This report will be given on November 3, 2009

Public Forum

Jim Matthews (President, Council of Chief Librarians) 1 handout – *spoke to the Board about funding cuts to Libraries.*

Paula Muñoz (CCC Extended Opportunity Programs & Services (EOPS)) 1 handout – *spoke to the Board about the annual EOPS convention and cuts to the program.*

Jeanette Mann (Vice President, California Community College Trustee Board) – *spoke to the Board about advocacy.*

Board Comments

J. Alfred Smith, Sr., Alice Perez, Kristin Franklin

New Business

No new business was discussed at this meeting.

Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 4:35 p.m. by Board of Governors President, Lance Izumi.



**November 2nd – 3rd, 2009 Board of Governors
Board Meeting Minutes**

November 3, 2009

The Board of Governors met in a closed session at 9:00 a.m. regarding the evaluation of the Chancellor. No action was taken.

Call to Order

Board of Governors President Lance Izumi called the meeting to order at 10:10 a.m.

Board of Governors Members Attendance

X	Manuel Baca			Pauline Larwood
	Geoffrey Baum		X	Deborah Malumed
X	Barbara Davis-Lyman		X	Robert McDonald
X	Kristin Jackson Franklin		X	Alice Perez
	Benita Haley			Gary Reed
X	Scott Himelstein		X	J. Alfred Smith, Sr.
X	Lance Izumi		X	Tanna Thomas

Board President, Lance Izumi thanked City College of San Francisco for hosting an evening reception for the Board of Governors. He also discussed the closed session and stated that the Chancellor’s contract will continue and that the Board is looking forward to working with him in 2010.

Item 3 Action

Item 3.1 Approval of Contracts and Grants

Presented by: Steve Bruckman

Executive Vice Chancellor Steve Bruckman presented this item to the Board for approval.

Board members had the following questions/comments and staff responded.

1. Some of the grants are reoccurring program grants that are not going out for bid again. As part of the discussion yesterday it was stated that there was going to be a reevaluation of some of these program grants. So if we approve these grants today will they have to be reevaluated as part of the process that was proposed yesterday?
Yes. There will be a reevaluation of all these grants in the coming months.
2. Why should we approve these now if we are going to reevaluate the distribution of some of these?
These should be approved now because there are programs that are in effect right now and we don’t want them to come to a halt. Unfortunately Vice Chancellor Millan isn’t available today to answer these questions.



November 2nd – 3rd, 2009 Board of Governors Board Meeting Minutes

3. On grant number 8 the \$36 million is part of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) funding. Is there any type of criteria for this contract or are we just going to hand it out? *ARRA funding will also be discussed when we get to item 5.2 about the fifty percent law. Initially, the ARRA funding was designated to come to the colleges to backfill categorical funding. However the Department of Finance has since ruled that it must go out to districts as unrestricted funds. Many colleges will probably use it to backfill the categorical funds. In addition, the funding distribution will be based on the cuts that the districts took in categorical programs. Since the funds are general purpose there are no terms or conditions on how the districts use the funds.*

4. Why would we use criteria for the cuts colleges took in categorical if that's not necessarily where the funds are going to be backfilled?
That was the direction that we were given by DOF and the Legislature. It was a compromise that was implemented to try to create an agreement between the legislative intent that these funds be used to backfill cuts to the categorical programs and the reality that the funds are general purpose.

5. Why wouldn't we go back to the Legislature? It seems like we are facilitating a process that doesn't make sense.
The cuts were deep and disproportionate to the categorical programs and targeting these resources based on these cuts does provide additional opportunities for districts to protect core student services.

Board member Tanna Thomas motioned for the Board to take the recommended action on this item. The recommendation is for the Board to approve entering into the contracts and grants. The motion was seconded by Board member Debbie Malumed. Board members Alice Perez and Robert McDonald abstained from the votes. The Board voted to approve the motion.

Board Comments

Alice Perez

Item 5 First Reading

Item 5.1 Revisions to the Procedures and Standing Orders of the Board of Governors

Presented by: Steve Bruckman

Executive Vice Chancellor Steve Bruckman presented this item to the Board. The *Procedures and Standing Orders* of the Board of Governors are the rules that the Board created for themselves on how they would conduct business. There haven't been any changes to the *Standing Orders* for a few



November 2nd – 3rd, 2009 Board of Governors Board Meeting Minutes

years. This item presents changes to Article 6 Committees and Article 7 Officers. The Board requested that the Executive Committee and the Foundation Committee be maintained.

Board Comments

Robert McDonald, Scott Himmelstein, Barbara Davis-Lyman, J. Alfred Smith, Sr., Debbie Malumed, Alice Perez

Item 5.2 Proposed Title 5 Regulations for Implementation of the Fifty Percent Law

Presented by: Erik Skinner

Vice Chancellor Erik Skinner presented this item to the Board. This item proposes a regulatory change to the Fifty Percent Law. This change is being proposed to help provide relief to district during these tough fiscal times and will aide districts as they struggle to maintain core student services.

Rarely do district fall below the Fifty Percent Law requirement. However the Chancellor's Office is hearing from district that the current fiscal environment has changed the nature of the challenges they are facing as they try to comply with the Fifty Percent Law. Deep cuts to the colleges (\$520 million approximately 8 percent of the system budget) and categorical program cuts (\$313 million across the system approximately a 40 percent cut) is hindering the district's ability to meet the Fifty Percent Law requirement.

Disproportionate cuts to the categorical programs were an intentional action by the Legislature and Governor. The intention was to provide district with greater flexibility to manage the cuts. By protecting the general purpose funds it gives district a greater amount of dollars that are flexible in nature. However district are reporting that the Fifty Percent Law is presenting a barrier as they attempt to use the general purpose funds to backfill some of the cuts the state made to the categorical programs. The ARRA funds that were provided by the Legislature, were done so with the clear intent that the funds be used to backfill the categorical program cuts. Since the funds were deemed general purpose, if a district uses them to backfill categorical cuts that will hurt them on the Fifty Percent Law calculation.

The regulatory change that the Chancellor's Office is proposing is a moderate change that is limited in nature and targeted to address the specific problem. The proposal:

1. Doesn't change the calculation on the front end, rather it creates a new criteria on the back end. When a districts ask for relief, if they demonstrate that they are restoring cuts to their categorical programs that is a factor that the Board can take into consideration when granting an exemption from the Fifty Percent Law.
2. The amount of relief is limited because it is based on the amount of cuts a district took in their categorical programs.



November 2nd – 3rd, 2009 Board of Governors Board Meeting Minutes

3. Is limited in duration. This proposal would be effective in the current fiscal year through 2012-13.

The top priority in the budget that the Board adopted in September 2009 is to restore cuts to the categorical programs. If the categorical programs are restored during the state budget process this proposal would become less relevant.

Consultation Council discussed this regulatory change at its October 2009 meeting. However consensus was not reached on whether this change was needed.

Board members had the following questions/comments and staff responded.

1. This is a narrowly tailored regulatory change and it is addressing something that is very real out in the field, however incremental/piece meal kind of actions concern me. Maybe it's time to revisit why we have this law.
2. Does this regulatory change guarantee the money will go to the categorical funds?
Yes. The only way that a district can qualify for relief under this provision would be if they took general purpose funds and used them to backfill cuts that the state made to their categorical programs.
3. The Board would like to see the historical movement within district on the Fifty Percent Law within the last five years.
We will provide you with a five year history of the movement within districts.
4. Wouldn't we have a better understanding of how colleges will use these funds if we look at it after one or two years versus the four years?
The compelling reason for having the four year duration is if this is only put on the books for a year few districts would utilize the flexibility. It wouldn't be worth it for them to go through the work of trying to redesign their budgets to backfill their categorical programs if they couldn't count on that plan begin available as an option for a longer period of time.

This waiver is not automatic. So as a Board in year two or three you will have complete discretion to use other information that is available to you as you decide whether or not to provide the waiver in the future. The Board will retain the discretion to implement the waiver as you see fit.

Board Comments

Manuel Baca, Barbara Davis-Lyman, Debbie Malumed



November 2nd – 3rd, 2009 Board of Governors Board Meeting Minutes

Public Comments

Celia Esposito-Noy, Dennis Frisch, Bill Hewitt, Paula Muñoz, Reid Milburn, Ron Norton Reel

Item 4.3 State and Federal Update

Presented by: Marlene Garcia

Vice Chancellor Garcia continued her state and federal updates.

State Update

The Senate and Assembly are in session. Their goal is to approve a new water policy and they will also take up legislation to help California become more competitive in the Race to the Top funding.

Board members had the following questions/comments and staff responded.

1. The Board would like to be provided talking points on key issues and facts that are relative to what's going on in the system for advocacy meetings.
2. Can you please discuss AB 1400?
*This bill was vetoed by the Governor on October 11, 2009. **AB 1400 (Fong) Community Colleges: Expulsion: Hearing** – This bill would permit a California Community College District to deny admission to a student who has been expelled from another district for committing a serious crime, such as murder, sexual assault, robbery or extortion, upon a finding through a district held hearing that the student continues to pose a significant risk to the public safety of students and employees of the district.*
3. Was there any discussion about transcript fraud?
No, this bill address serious crimes like murder, assault, carrying a concealed weapon, etc. However the issue of transcript fraud was addressed by the Community College League and the Chancellor's Office. There have been efforts to provide information on how to safeguard systems to not allow students to tamper with transcripts or change grades.

Federal Update

1. Congress is in session. Session isn't expected to adjourn until the end of December.
2. Everything has been placed on hold until health care reform is addressed.
3. One of the next major bills on the agenda is the Student Aid and Fiscal Responsibility Act (HR 3221) by Congressman George Miller. This bill has moved through the house and is now in the Senate Health, Education, Labor and Pensions Committee (Education Committee), which is working on amendments to the House version of the bill. The only sticking point is direct lending. Some lending institutions are concerned about the conversion from the federal guarantee loan program to direct lending.



November 2nd – 3rd, 2009 Board of Governors Board Meeting Minutes

4. A matrix has been developed to show which colleges have received American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) funds.
5. The Chancellor's Office has received a \$3.5 million grant for administration of the California Training Partnership. This is a joint project between the California Community Colleges, the California Energy Commission and the State Labor Management Cooperative.
6. Once the Student Aid and Fiscal Responsibility Act is approved the American Graduation Initiative will be an additional pot of funds that we can aggressively pursue.

Board members had the following questions/comments and staff responded.

1. Does this bill have any impact on the Student Aid Commission and ED Fund?
Yes. ED Fund has been supportive. ED Fund has repositioned itself to provide more student support services, informational services, and customer services they are trying to figure out where they can play a role in helping students with their loans.

Ed Fund has successfully advocated in the federal legislation to create a support role in helping the colleges manage the direct loan program in terms of consumer information to students, debt counseling, and default prevention activities. ED Fund would have a new role contracting directly with the Department of Education to assist in the direct loan program.
2. Does it have any impact on the grants process?
There would be no impact on the grant process.
3. How does that change the infrastructure within the colleges? Is this pushing the loan program directly to the colleges and do they have the infrastructure today to support that? Or is that an infrastructure that needs to be built?
To this point the direct loan program has operated alongside the federal guaranteed loan program. There is concern among the financial aid director about the transition. It will be a challenge for the colleges and the Department of Education. Some of the amendments to the legislation will provide for support services that make our campuses more comfortable with the transition.
4. How is that process going to impact our students and how many campuses are set up today that can immediately implement this verses the ones that it would be a barrier for. The Board needs to be made aware of this information before a decision is made on whether to support this legislation or not.
Staff will follow up with that information.



November 2nd – 3rd, 2009 Board of Governors Board Meeting Minutes

Board Comments

Manuel Baca, Alice Perez, Tanna Thomas

Item 5 First Reading

Item 5.3 California Community Colleges State and Federal Legislative Program for 2010

Presented by: Marlene Garcia

Vice Chancellor Marlene Garcia presented this item to the Board. The group Legislative Task Force met in October to look at various legislative proposals for 2010. The criteria for choosing proposal was:

1. Did the proposal enhance student success in the areas of transfer, career technical education (CTE) and basic skills?
2. Is the proposal fiscally prudent?
3. Can the proposal save state resources and create efficiencies for colleges?
4. Does the proposal provide important clarification for the system?
5. Is the proposal politically viable?
6. Does the proposal promote a unified voice within the community college constituent groups?

The three proposals brought forward for Board sponsorship are:

1. **CCC Assess** – this legislation proposes to create a centralized storage and delivery data warehouse of CCC Assessment instruments, test scores, and other data used in the assessment/placement process.
2. **Streamlining Reports** – this legislation proposes to allow us to streamline current reports, remove obsolete requirements from statute, and consolidate reports that address similar policy issues.
3. **Federal Veteran's Earmark** – this is a proposal for the Chancellor's Office to pursue a statewide federal veteran's earmark. To provide additional funding to support community colleges that support the unique needs of returning veteran students. The funds from the earmark will be awarded on a competitive basis to colleges that submit a grant to the Chancellor's Office based on specified criteria.



November 2nd – 3rd, 2009 Board of Governors Board Meeting Minutes

Board members had the following questions/comments and staff responded.

1. How were the tests selected for the CCC Assess Legislation?
The grant will fund an advisory committee that will be comprised of faculty, assessment professionals, and technology people. The taskforce would determine what the system can come to consensus on as the best instrument in English, math, and ESL. Members of that task force have not been chosen.
2. Do we communicate with like entities or Boards that deal with education?
Yes. The best way to communicate is through the head of each entity who would contact the members of their Boards.

Board Comments

Barbara Davis-Lyman, Deborah Malumed, J. Alfred Smith, Sr.

Item 6 Information and Reports

Item 6.2 Veterans Committee Report

Presented by: Robert McDonald

Board member Robert McDonald presented the Veteran's Committee Report to the Board highlighting the following events:

1. Operation Career Launch CTE at Fullerton College on October 22, 2009
2. Senator Lou Correa's Veterans Town Hall at Rancho Santiago CCD on October 16, 2009

Board member McDonald also spoke about accessing Troops to College information on the Chancellor's Office website and establishing a strategic plan for veteran's affairs.

Board Comments

J. Alfred Smith, Sr., Manuel Baca

Item 6.1 Update on the Activities of the Student Senate for California Community Colleges

Presented by: Reid Milburn

Reid Milburn updated the Board of Governors on the activities of the Student Senate.

Board Comments

J. Alfred Smith, Sr.



**November 2nd – 3rd, 2009 Board of Governors
Board Meeting Minutes**

Item 6.3 Board Member Reports

Kristin Franklin:

1. Attended a fundraising event at the Lundquist House.

Tanna Thomas:

1. Served as chair of the Joint Advisory Committee on Career Technical Education for 2009 and attended a meeting on September 30th. At that meeting the group was provided with an update on various programs. At the next meeting the group will be discussing the State's CTE plan.
2. On October 16th participated in and listened to a presentation by Scott Lay and Jane Patton.

Barbara Davis-Lyman:

1. Is assisting in planning a spring event to help raise matching funds for the Osher Foundation grant.

J. Alfred Smith, Sr.:

1. Formed a coalition between the J. Alfred Smith Academy and Merritt College around training individuals for jobs in the green industry. The first graduation ceremony for these individuals is taking place on November 3.

Manuel Baca:

1. Will be attending the Academic Senates Statewide Plenary Session next week and the CCLC Conference the following week.

Debbie Malumed

1. Attended the Administrators of Occupational Education meeting in Long Beach.



**November 2nd – 3rd, 2009 Board of Governors
Board Meeting Minutes**

Public Forum

Jane Patton (President, Academic Senate)– *spoke to the Board about the Academic Senate Plenary Session.*

Jonathan Lightman – *presented a video to the Board from EOPS Students.*

Lance Izumi, Board President – *Thanked the Board for all of their help, advice and support over the past two years of his presidency.*

New Business

No new business was discussed at this meeting.

Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 12:45 p.m.